


WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT VIRGINIA



CONTENTS

1. BACKGROUND
2. VIRGINIA: FACT OVER FICTION
3. THE ACCUSED
4. LEAVING EPSTEIN
5. QUESTIONS FOR VIRGINIA



1. BACKGROUND



The Epstein scandal is a deep and tangled web that has ensnared the public's imagination for almost a decade. Yet as conspiracy theory groups and online amateur sleuths continue to confuse and perplex, facts are being distorted, at times withheld from the general public.

So too is the truth not being reported – stepped over in favor of tabloid sensationalism and headline-grabbing claims from unchecked sources.

With one issue published each month, The Epstein Files will shed light on what the mainstream media aren't showing you – from the 'suicide' of Jeffrey Epstein in issue two, The Curious Death of Jeff, to issue 4: Maxwell on Trial. No stone is left unturned, with some shocking revelations to be made and further questions posed – questions being intentionally omitted from the many documentaries and news reports that persistently flood our television screens.

In this issue, we will be examining the shocking truth behind one of the main figures in the Epstein saga – Virginia Roberts. In particular, this report will undergo the awkward yet necessary task of scrutinizing the many wild accusations made by Roberts (now Giuffre). The tale of Virginia Roberts – and more importantly the endless lies she has now been proven to have made – is a stark warning for society's tendency to rely upon trials by media. This report does not intend to undermine the ongoing search for justice. Jeffrey Epstein was a paedophile and a monster. But the only way to get justice for the victims of that monster is through exposing the truth – in its entirety.

While genuine victims must be heard, those who exploit genuine victims and the #metoo movement for their own financial or personal gain, must be exposed, for fear that their exploitation will potentially hinder true justice from being obtained and victims from securing closure.

So too must truth always be held above all things – without it, when it comes to cases so serious in nature, more lives can be destroyed, and more innocent victims created in the process.


This report, for the first time, will Comb through the many developing claims and accusations made by Virginia Roberts, and will prove beyond any doubt that Roberts has lied on multiple occasions, including in legal documents and while under oath.

This report will also demonstrate how media outlets and the press have been negligent in their presentation of the facts, how in some instances claims have not been fact-checked before publication, and even in other cases intentionally published despite the publisher knowing them to be inaccurate or misleading.



THE MAN, THE MONSTER







Jeffrey Epstein began his professional life as a teacher but then switched to the banking and finance sector in various roles, working at Bear Stearns before forming his own firm. He developed an elite social circle and procured many women, including underage girls, who were then sexually abused by Epstein and some of his contacts. In 2005, police in Palm Beach, Florida, began investigating Epstein after a parent complained that he had sexually abused her 14-year-old daughter. Epstein pleaded guilty and was convicted in 2008 by a Florida state court of procuring an underage girl for prostitution and of soliciting a prostitute. He served almost 13 months in custody, but with extensive work release. He was convicted of only these two crimes as part of a controversial plea deal; federal officials had identified 36 girls, some as young as 14 years old, whom Epstein had allegedly sexually abused.

Epstein was arrested again on July 6, 2019, on federal charges for the sex trafficking of minors in Florida and New York. He died in his jail cell on August 10, 2019. The medical examiner ruled the death a suicide. Epstein's lawyers have disputed the ruling, and there has been significant public skepticism about the true cause of his death. Since his death precluded the possibility of pursuing criminal charges, a judge dismissed all criminal charges on August 29, 2019. Epstein had a decades-long association with Ghislaine Maxwell, who



has faced persistent allegations of procuring and sexually trafficking underage girls for Epstein, which led to her arrest by the FBI on July 2, 2020.

While more articles hit our newsfeeds on the scandal on a daily basis, much has been made of the celebrity and powerful connections Epstein had made throughout his murky existence. Former associates – no matter how fleeting – have found themselves under attack and close scrutiny; from billionaires, to politicians and glamour models. It was inside a building owned by one of his most prominent former associates that the shocking story of Virginia Roberts truly begins… Or does it?





2. VIRGINIA: FACT OVER FICTION

"Why Let a Lie Get In The Way Of a Good Story?"

Due to her shocking accusations against a seemingly ever-growing list of famous faces, Virginia Roberts-Giuffre has stolen the limelight in the ongoing Epstein saga – overshadowing Epstein's many victims with her sensationalist media interviews.

Roberts was born in Sacramento, California, on August 9th, 1983, to Sky Roberts, reported as an 'odd-job man and horse-loving cowboy', and Lynn Roberts, a bank worker, before her family relocated to Palm Beach County, Florida, when Virginia was four years old. It is claimed by Roberts that she came from a "troubled home".



Virginia's aunty – a staunch supporter of her niece – however, provides a different summary of Roberts' childhood, telling The Daily Mail: 'The family wasn't wealthy but Lynn came from money and Virginia was given a trust fund by her maternal grandmother… Lynn's mother took the family on lavish holidays when she was a child. She was brought up with good morals; respect your parents, don't talk back, don't do drink or drugs'. Virginia's aunt described her niece's family home and upbringing as 'idyllic'. Yet when around the age of twelve, Roberts claims to have been molested by a neighbour, leading to her father, Sky, sending his daughter to live with her aunt, before returning a year later after the neighbor had moved. It is at this point that Roberts claims her life spiraled out of control after the neigbour's abuse led to her parents breaking-up, although this claim has been disputed, with a conflicting account provided by her father. Roberts has publicly stated that she lived on the streets at thirteen before getting abused by 65-year-old sex trafficker, Ron Eppinger, who was raided by the FBI and later pleaded guilty to charges of alien smuggling for prostitution, interstate travel for prostitution, and money laundering. Other than Virginia's interviews, however, no records of her involvement as a victim of Eppinger can be found, and Virginia's aunt has stated that she has no recollection of her niece ever being abused by Eppinger. Other friends and acquaintances from that time have provided a different reason for Virginia's troubles, saying that the teenager sank into drug addiction. One said: 'She smoked weed and didn't spend a lot of time at school. Her elder brother Daniel took her to rehab aged just 15 or 16. Virginia was definitely troubled, but she wouldn't speak about her demons with anyone. She just kept it

bottled up.' This is also verified by other accounts, including the deposition of an ex-boyfriend who, while under oath, confirmed that Virginia had been visiting a rehabilitation center.

In 2000, Virginia's father, who was working at Donald Trump's prestigious Mar-a-Lago spa club, helped land his daughter a summer job there as a locker room attendant.

It was during her stint at the spa that Virginia claims to have been approached by Ghislaine Maxwell, who noted the book on massage that she was sat reading, inquired about her interest in massage, and offered her a potential job working for Jeffrey Epstein as a traveling masseuse with the assurance that no experience was necessary and that Epstein would help her obtain training and potentially a qualification.

From here, despite Virginia's vastly publicized claims and accounts, the facts pertaining to the subsequent series of events is blurred at best, and at worst, highly questionable.

The few verifiable facts that exist tell us that, after her time in the 'service' of Jeffrey Epstein, Virginia travelled to Thailand, got married, and moved to Australia.

In March 2011, rightly appalled by the secret plea deal and total lack of justice regarding Epstein's many crimes, two of Epstein's victims filed a motion in federal court accusing the government of violating their rights by failing to notify them about the plea deal and not allowing them to object. Among other things, they called for the plea deal to be invalidated in the hope of sending Epstein back to prison, this time to serve a much longer sentence.



Virginia with her aunt, Carol Kess

The two victims accused federal prosecutors of deceiving them with "false notification letters".

Years later, on 30th December, 2014, Virginia Roberts (now Virginia Giuffre) moved to join the action, claiming that she, too, had her rights violated by the government, and in January, 2015, filed a "corrected" joinder motion listing a series of outlandish and shocking accusations against various influential individuals, and that she was trafficked to them by Jeffrey Epstein. Roberts also went



to the press – selling her story to The Mail on Sunday for £160,000 and – as her claims began to broaden and intensify – placing her in the media spotlight and securing her subsequent lucrative payments.

In April of the same year, a federal judge ruled that Roberts could not join the federal Crime Victims' Rights Act lawsuit and that her affidavit — accusing Prince Andrew and Dershowitz of having sex with her when she was underage — should be stricken from the case.

Changing tact, despite the vast majority of Epstein's victims not claiming that Ghislaine Maxwell had been involved in trafficking them, Virginia reiterated her claims, suing Maxwell for defamation after the socialite's lawyers provided the following statement to the press in response to Roberts' accusations:

"Jane Doe 3 is Virginia Roberts—so not a new individual. The allegations made by Victoria Roberts against Ghislaine Maxwell are untrue. The original allegations are not new and have been fully responded to and shown to be untrue. Each time the story is re told [sic] it changes with new salacious details about public figures and world leaders and now it is alleged by Ms Roberts [sic] that Alan Derschowitz [sic] is involved in having sexual relations with her, which he denies. Ms Roberts claims are obvious lies and should be treated as such and not publicised as news, as they are defamatory. Ghislaine Maxwell's original response to the lies and defamatory claims remains the same. Maxwell strongly denies allegations of an unsavoury nature, which have appeared in the British press and elsewhere and reserves her right to seek redress at the repetition of such old defamatory claims."



A HISTORY OF RAPE ACCUSATIONS

Virginia Roberts has a history of making questionable, fruitless rape allegations, and her claims against multiple famous personalities is certainly not the first time that her credibility has been brought into question.

In the same year (1999) that Roberts had originally inaccurately claimed she had met Jeffrey Epstein, the former prostitute accused two young male friends of raping her. According to records, police started investigating the case on the insistence of Roberts' mother and after a report was made by a social worker at a medical facility where Virginia, using the name 'Jenna', was staying, and where she had made the claims.

She reported that in early February 1998, she had been drinking alcohol and smoking marijuana in a wooded area near West Palm Beach with friends Josh, 18, and Kevin, 17, when she claims she passed out.

She says she later woke up to both of the young men taking turns raping her - an ordeal she questionably says went on for up to seven hours – after which she went back to Josh's house and had sex with him again.

When officers tracked down the two men later the following summer, both testified that it was a consensual threesome.

A detective who spoke with Josh reported that after the three drank, the young man urged Virginia to get some sleep. He says she then 'said to him something to the effect of "why don't you keep me awake", after which they engaged in a further sexual encounter.

In the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office documents, Virginia's mother is recorded as telling a detective investigating the discredited claims about her daughter's "past drug abuse".

"I wasn't hiding anything," Josh, who voluntarily came forward to detectives, told a local newspaper,

adding he only knew the teen as ‘Jen’, never saw her again after the one night, and that her accusation caught him by surprise.

"Of course I was concerned — somebody tried to press serious charges against me," he said.

Following a months-long investigation, prosecutors declined to pursue the case "due to the victim's lack of credibility and no substantial likelihood of success at trial," court records state.

Michael Salnick, the defense lawyer who represented Kevin in the rape allegations, says the fact that prosecutors didn't believe Roberts would be a believable victim could hamper her current lawsuit.

'She needs to be careful the past doesn’t come back to haunt her,' he said.

Yet Virginia’s past is already creeping back, with damaging implications.

Despite seemingly attempting to reinvent herself, questions remain not only over her participation as a child trafficker (for Virginia openly admits that she actively and willingly procured minors for Jeffrey Epstein, even when she herself was over the age of consent), but also in regards to the accuracy of her summary of her time spent with the paedophile financier. Those who used to be close to Roberts have decribed her as a ‘money-hungry sex kitten’, and say she relished her role as his 'travelling masseuse' and never acted like she was being held captive.

Philip Guderyon, who used to date Roberts and would drive her to Epstein's Pal Beach, Florida, mansion told the New York Daily News: 'She was like the head b***h. She’d have like nine or 10 girls she used to bring to him.

'She never looked like she was being held captive,' he added. 'She and the other girls would walk out of there smiling, with their little bathing suits on, like they had just come from the beach.

'She’d have like $4,000. And then I’d take them all to the mall and they’d get their nails done.'

He also said while making thousands of dollars from the relationship, she would buy fancy clothes and drive around in Epstein's Mercedes.

Crystal Figueroa, whose brother dated Roberts in the early 2000s, told the Daily News Roberts would ask if she knew anyone 'slutty' so they could be sent to Epstein.

In 2001 she was sharing a Palm Beach apartment with Anthony Valladares.

He told the Daily News that she bragged about going to the 'millionaire's house' for a day or two, before returning with cash.

It is partly thanks to Virginia's defamation case against Ghislaine Maxwell, and the fierce legal suits that arose between Virginia Roberts and the accused, that, in late 2019, a cache of court documents were unsealed and made public in late 2019, followed by a further unsealing in 2020.

By carefully analyzing these thousands of documents, comparing depositions, and scrutinizing Virginia Roberts' claims one-by-one, we begin to find glaring holes in Virginia's statements and accusations, and, in some instances, are presented with clear inconsistencies and falsehoods. These falsehoods – in an age of media sensationalism and inaccurate reporting that favors scandal over the truth – continue to be printed by newspapers to this very day; further polluting the already confused ongoing legal case, misleading the general public, and potentially placing the chance of Epstein's victims achieving true justice at stark risk.

In an earlier statement issued through her lawyers, Ghislaine Maxwell highlighted this very point; and while it is easy to dismiss the words of the accused, to this end, Maxwell is demonstrably correct: "I understand newspapers need stories to sell copies. It is well known that certain newspapers live by the adage, 'why let the truth get in the way of a good story'… A number of newspapers have shown a complete lack of accuracy in their reporting of this story and a failure to carry out the most elementary investigation or any real due diligence."

During research for this report, an example of this irresponsible, even dangerous inaccurate reporting, was presented in the following headline published by The Sun: "TWISTED KICKS: Ghislaine Maxwell 'told Virginia Roberts, 15, to strip before she was made to lick Epstein's nipples at first meeting".

Not only does this sensationalist headline ignore numerous contradictions in Virginia's account of the event, but the basic fact of her age is misrepresented – at a time when facts are crucial to ensure that the public are correctly informed and that no undue influence, based on falsehoods, is exerted upon the justice system and ongoing trial. The fact is that Virginia Roberts was born on 9th August, 1983, and met Epstein and Maxwell in 2000, making her almost 17 at the time, not 15. Upon being presented with this and asked why their reporters had not fact-checked the claim, The Sun replied: "The reference to Virginia Roberts being 15 at the time of this incident comes from a quote, from her". The admission by a national newspaper that it is willing to print a lie, should be a concern. Yet this error is just the tip of the iceberg; and as we shall explore, not only should the words and sensational accusations of Virginia Roberts not be accepted on face value, but serious lies and doubts over her credibility exist – doubts that, until now, have been gravely ignored.

In 2011, Virginia was in frequent contact with tabloid journalist Sharon Churcher, with the aim to sell her ever-changing story and to seek help in securing a lucrative book deal.

In March of that year, Churcher published the first explosive, sensationalist article, revealing that Virginia Roberts was the 'Jane Doe' mentioned in court files, that she had been groomed by Jeffrey Epstein, and that she had met Prince Andrew.

Yet – a fact that has now been swept under the carpet – Virginia Roberts originally made it clear that she had never had sex with the Prince, and that he had never sexually abused her.

In the first article, based on her extensive interviews with Roberts, Churcher's article in The Daily Mail read: "Virginia got a part-time job as a changing room assistant –which is where, soon after her 15th birthday, she met Ghislaine Maxwell, who invited her




SHARON CHURCHER

to work as Epstein's personal masseuse. 'I was wearing my uniform – a white miniskirt and a skin-tight white polo top – when I was approached by Ghislaine', Virginia says.

'I told her I wanted to become a masseuse and she worked for a very wealthy gentleman who was looking for a travelling masseuse. I'd get training and be paid well.' Virginia's father gave his blessing… he drover her to Epstein's pink mansion on the Palm Beach waterfront…Virginia says: 'Ghislaine said I was to start immediately and that someone would drive me home. My father left and I was told to go upstairs'. She was led by another woman through Epstein's bedroom into a massage room where he lay down naked on a table. Virginia was then told to start massaging Epstein, under the instructions of the woman who had shown her in. The massage quickly developed into a



sexual encounter.

That was the beginning of the four years she spent with Epstein. ‘Jeffrey bought me jewellery – diamonds were his favourite – and wonderful furniture. He was paying me very well because I’d give him sex whenever he wanted it’.

She was, she says, delighted when Epstein invited her to accompany him on a six-week trip in 2001. They flew to Paris, then Spain, then Tangier. Finally, they went to London. ‘After we landed, we drove straight to Ghislaine’s house’, says Virginia. ‘I was given a small upstairs bedroom. The following morning, Ghislaine came in. She was chirpy and jumped on the bed saying, “Get up, sleepyhead. You’ve got a big day. We’ve got to go shopping. You need a dress as you’re going to dance with a Prince tonight.”

“When I went downatiars, Ghislaine and Jeffrey were in the lounge. There was a knock at the door. Ghislaine led Andrew in and we kissed each other on the cheek’ … The four of them went out to dinner and on to Tramp nightclub where, she says, Andrew danced with her. ‘After about an hour-and-a-half, we drove back to Ghislaine’s. All of us went upstairs and I asked Jeffrey to snap a picture of me with the Prince. I wanted something to show my Mom. Ghislaine and Jeffrey left us after that, and later Andrew left”.

The article then – based on Virginia’s own testimony, clearly states: “There is no suggestion that there was any sexual contact between Virginia and Andrew, or that Andrew knew that Epstein paid her to have sex with his friends.”

This fact is further cemented in Churcher’s article for the Australian Telegraph, where she writes: ‘Ms Roberts said there was never any sexual relationship between the Prince and herself.’



While Virginia continued to claim that she had been forced to have sex with Jeffrey Epstein and some of his wealthy and influential friends, she in fact never once accused Prince Andrew – clearly stating that she simply met him while in the company of Epstein and Maxwell in a social setting.

Nor did she accuse Jeffrey Epstein's lawyer, the well-known and respected Alan Dershowitz; not, that is, until after she was paid £160,000 by The Daily Mail for her story and the photograph of herself with Andrew, and seemingly began to see a means of making a hefty sum of money by continuing to embellish her story, and to provide the newspapers with further scandalous headlines.

It was at this point that Virginia decided to write her memoir, with the idea of snagging a publishing agent, a book deal, and in turn more money through the book's sale and through the subsequent newspaper articles that would certainly arise off the backend of the memoir's sensationalist stories and claims. Yet to do this she would need help. Turning once again to Sharon Churcher, Virginia asked for her support and assistance in finding an agent – even asking her for advice on which big names to mention in her manuscript and in her pitch.

"Hi Sharon" she wrote in an email in May 2011. "Hello gorgeous. I hope this message comes to you on a bright, sunny day! I took your advice about what to offer Sandra [a ghost writer] and she accepted. We're drawing up a contract through her agent right now and getting busy to meet my deadline. Just wondering if you have any information on you when you and I were doing interviews about the JE story. I wanted to put the names of some of assholes, oops, I meant to say pedo's, that JE sent me to."

The following day, Sharon Churcher replied: "Don't forget Alan Dershowitz. JE's buddy and lawyer. Good name for your pitch as he repped Claus Von Bulow and a movie was made about that case… title was Reversal of Fortune. We all think Alan's a pedo, and though no proof of that, you probably met him when he was hanging out with JE."

The following day, Virginia replied: "Thanks again Shazza. I'm bringing down the house with this book!!!!"

This email, and the first published articles, confirm that Virginia Roberts had not only not accused Alan Dershowitz of any wrongdoing, but that she had also clearly stated that Prince Andrew had not engaged in any form of sexual encounter with her, at any point in time. Yet the truth, it seems, did not last for long. As the emails which Virginia and her lawyers sought to prevent from being seen clearly show, and as her subsequent book and interviews evidence, Virginia would soon change her story for her own gain. Yet in doing so she would slip-up, leaving a trail of inconsistencies, contradictions, and transparent lies.

|Hi Sharon, |
|
|Hello gorgeous, I hope this message comes to you on a bright, sunny day!!!|
|I took your advice about what to offer Sandra and she accepted. Were |
|drawing up a contract through her agent right now and getting busy to meet|

1 Copyright Protected Material
CONFIDENTIAL

|my deadline. Just wondering if you have any information on you from when |
|you and I were doing interviews about the J.E story. I wanted to put the |
|names of some of these assholes, oops, I meant to say, pedo's, that J.E |
|sent me to. With everything going on my brain feels like mush and it would|
|be a great deal of help! |
|Having fun sweetie? |
|
|Thanks, |
|Jenna |



From: Sharon.Churcher@mailonsunday.co.uk <Sharon.Churcher@mailonsunday.co.uk>
Subject: Re: Good News!!
To: "Virginia Giuffre" <[redacted]
Received: Wednesday, 11 May, 2011, 4.17 PM

Don't forget Alan Dershowitz.. JE's buddy and lawyer..good name for your pitch as he repped Claus von Bulow and a movie was made about that case...title was Reversal of Fortune. We all suspect Alan is a pedo and tho no proof of that, you probably met him when he was hanging out w JE

To: Sharon.Churcher@mailonsunday.co.uk[Sharon.Churcher@mailonsunday.co.uk]
From: Virginia Giuffre
Sent: Thur 5/12/2011 2:21:43 AM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Good News!!
Received: Thur 5/12/2011 2:21:43 AM

Thanks again Shazza, I'm bringing down the house with this book!!!
xoxo Jenna

Hi Jarred

Hopefully you have Virginia's book pitch by now.

She has some amazing names which she can share with you in confidence and I think she also has a human interest story that could appeal to the Oprah/female set as well as the Wall Streeters who follow Epstein – a hedge fund king.

Here are a few of our stories about Virginia, plus some examples of the massive US and other international media pickup. Vanity Fair are doing a piece I believe in their August issue. The FBI have reopened the Epstein case due to Virginia's revelations. I also am attaching a link to a NY Magazine profile of Epstein.....written before his world combusted. The FBI believe he was essentially running a private – and mobile -- brothel for some of the world's richest and most influential men.

He got off the first time round after retaining Kenneth Starr (who witchhunted Bill Clinton) and Alan Dershowitz (von Bulow's appeal lawyer, who inspired the movie Reversal of Fortune). The US Justice Dept is investigating corruption allegations against at least one prosecutor involved in the case.

Best regards,

Sharon

To: sharon churcher[sharon.churcher@mailonsunday.co.uk]
From: Virginia Giuffre
Sent: Fri 5/20/2011 2:20:09 AM
Importance: Normal
Subject: How ya doing??
Received: Fri 5/20/2011 2:20:09 AM

Hi Buddy,
I hope you are stopping to smell the daffodils once in a while and having a good day!! I am so excited today because I ca go sign with an agent as my contract is finished with "Mail On Sunday"...YEAH!! Sandra and I have been working really hard to get me ready for my trip to the U.S in a few weeks and I was wondering if I could use your advice again. She has got an INT'L agent who is interested in speaking with me and I don't want to say "Yes" to the first bite because I'm not su what to look for in an agent. What could you recommend that I do? I will send Jarred and Irene (your recommended agen a copy of the synopsis and sample chapters but how do I choose the right one for "The Story"? Do you know anyone else that might be interested in this as well? If so, i am keen on speaking with anyone who might be. I am soooooooo excited about this and will keep you updated with the progressing events. When I am in New York we have to meet up for some city shopping and take the kids to Central Park to see the Zoo, given there will be no masturbating kangaroo's for you to make friends with, but who know's? I am looking forward to showing Robbie around and he's got some family out there a well we have to catch up with. Such busy times, but I'm loving it!! Anyways I hope your taking care and catch me up on your fun times!!

Take care,
Jenna

We shall now review some of the many key contradictions contained within Virginia Roberts' interviews, statements, and sworn depositions.

Starting at the beginning – the first encounter with Jeffrey Epstein – this report will demonstrate how Virginia's claims show a serious, undeniable pattern of embellishment, a lack of evidence, and, at times, strong signs of fabrication and deceit.

The following pages will evidence how Virginia Roberts has developed a web of conflicting stories, and appears to have provided false details to support her accusations.

This report does not deny the claim that Virginia was abused while in the company of Jeffrey Epstein.

This report simply serves as the first document to compile the wealth of evidence that supports

the theory that Virginia Roberts has not been truthful in her account of her abuse, has potentially committed perjury, potentially implicated innocent individuals in an attempt to gain media attention and financial reward from the selling of her claims, and that the mainstream media has been negligible in its reporting on the Epstein case, ignoring that Virginia Roberts is not a credible witness.

THE FIRST ENCOUNTER

THE CONTRADICTIONS BEGIN

In her original account, as published by Sharon Churcher in the Telgraph, Virginia Roberts clearly stated that it was not Ghislaine Maxwell whom she alleges had a sexual encounter with both her and Epstein at the first massage:

“He drove her to Epstein’s pink mansion on the Palm Beach waterfront – he also owns a nine-storey home in New York, the city’s biggest private residence; a 7,500-acre ranch called ‘Zorro’ in New Mexico and Little Saint James, a private 70- acre atoll in the US Virgin Islands.

Virginia says: ‘Ghislaine said I was to start immediately and that someone would drive me home.

My father left and I was told to go upstairs.’ She was led by another woman through Epstein’s bedroom into a massage room where he lay face down naked on a table.

Virginia was then told to start massaging Epstein, under the instructions of the woman who had shown her in. The massage quickly developed into a sexual encounter.”

This has big implications considering that Virginia later changed her story several times, eventually forgetting her claim that it was ‘another woman’, and not Maxwell, and directly accusing Maxwell of being the ‘other woman’ – her contradictory claim forming perhaps the foundation of the charges against Ghislaine Maxwell and the reason for her current incarceration. It is important to note here that out of the twenty plus statements taken from victims during the investigation into Jeffrey Epstein, none implicated Ghislaine Maxwell as being a trafficker or ‘recruiter’ of girls for Epstein. Only much later – once Virginia Roberts changed her story and accused Maxwell – did a tiny few follow suit and accuse the socialite; each of these witnesses providing no evidence, and, like Virginia, being questionable in their credibility.

Yet this is not the only contradiction held within Virginia’s account of her first encounter with Jeffrey Epstein. There are many; from who drove her to Epstein’s home, who was involved, to what exactly took place that evening.





In the manuscript for the memoir 'The Billionaire's Playground', that Virginia was actively seeking, with Sharon Churcher's help, to publish, Virginia claims that her father drove her to Epstein's Florida home, going on to say:

"He walked me to the front door and I rung the door chime. Moments later we were greeted by an older gentleman dressed in a casual butler uniform. I told him I was here to meet Ms. Maxwell for a massage trial and he opened the doors for my father and telling us to wait in the entry as Ms. Maxwell would be on her way any second, and she was."

During cross-examination at her 2016 deposition, Virginia stated that what she had claimed in The Billionaire's Playground was untrue:

Q. When you got there, a butler or someone answered the door, is that what you said?

A. No, Ghislaine answered the door.

Interestingly, Roberts' claim that Ghislaiine answered the door only came about after she decided to implicate Maxwell and sue her for defamation. Her father provided a different account – that he never met Maxwell, and that on an occasion when he drove Virginia to the house, he only met Epstein, and not Maxwell. Roberts was forced to accept this account during her 2019 defamation case:

"She [Virginia Roberts] does not contest her father's testimony that Mr. Epstein came out of the house and greeted her father and that her father never met Ms. Maxwell".

Ghislaine Maxwell provides an alternative version of the event, saying in

her deposition, “Her [Virginia’s] statement that she was driven by her father to Palm Beach – she was driven by her mother, as a matter of fact… I was outside speaking to her mother… You can be a professional masseuse at 17 in Florida, so as far as I am aware, a professional masseuse showed up for a massage… Her entire characterization of her first time at the house was to me an obvious lie, given it was impossible for her entire story to take place given I was speaking to her mother the entire time she was at the house.”

Q. “So it was impossible that day, that first day she came and you were speaking to the mother, for Virginia Roberts to have had sex with Jeffrey Epstein during the time that you were outside with her mother?”

A. “Absolutely, 1000 percent – she did not have any type of sexual relations as described by you in your court papers that took place, because those allegedly, according to her lies, involved some aspect of me. As I was standing outside with her mother the entire time, her entire story is a lie. Therefore, to ask me what she did or didn’t do during that time, I can only testify to what she said about me, which is 1000 percent false.”

Q. “So let’s not take the first time, let’s take the next time she comes.”

A. “No no, how can you do that, when the basis of this entire horrible story that you have put out is based on this first appalling story that was written, repeated, multiplied by the press, and that lied about her age, lied about the first time she came, lied about and characterized the entire first time. I have been so absolutely appalled by her story and appalled by the entire characterization of it.”

Q. “So not the first time she came, but the second time she came, or the third time, or any time she came, did you ever participate in a massage with her in Jeffrey Epstein’s room?”

A. “I have never participated at any time with Virginia in a massage with Jeffrey.”

Q. “Have you ever participated in any kind of sexual contact or sexual touching with Jeffrey and Virginia?”

A. “I have not”.

Yet years after clearly stating that Maxwell had not been involved in any sexual encounter during her first visit to Epstein’s Florida home, Virginia Roberts changed her story, implicating Maxwell, and, in her memoir, writing that after the alleged incident: “When I got home my parents were anticipating my arrival. I kept it simple and sweet with my folks, only telling them of the lessons about the body I had received and the future prospects in massage therapy that lie ahead. Nobody questioned who I was working for and complimented my ability to be a hard worker. I quickly got out of that conversation afraid I might give away a hint of shame in my eyes but no

one caught on. I excused myself to the bathroom to have a shower and stared into the mirror for a moment, asking myself if I could really go through with this only to mentally respond by reminding myself this would be as good as it gets for a girl of my stature and limitations."

In her court testimony in 2016, however, Virginia contradicts this claim:

Q. "When you got home, you said you made a beeline for the bathroom?"

A. "Correct."

Q. "And what did you do in the bathroom?"

A. "I showered."

Q. "Okay. Did you have a conversation with anyone prior to going to the bathroom?"

A. "My mom came into the bathroom and -- and she, you know, she asked me how it went. And I told her I'd rather not talk about it. And she didn'tpush me any further for any more conversation."

Q. "Okay. And then she left the bathroom?"

A. "She left the bathroom."

Q. "Did anyone overhear that conversation?"

A. "No, the door was closed."

Q. "Was your dad at home?"

A. "Yes."

Q. "Did you have a conversation with your dad that night?"

A. "Not that I remember, no."

Q. "And did you have any other conversation with your mother that night?"

A. "No."

PLAYING-DOWN THE BOOK DEAL

THE BURNT DIARY AND THE DAMNING EMAILS

Based on court depositions and the unsealing of emails that Virginia Roberts had hoped to keep unsealed, Virginia Roberts has appeared at times to play-down her financial interests in the ongoing case, and was even advised by Sharon Churcher to begin using the term 'trafficked'.

Roberts had the intention of publishing her manuscript as a factual account of her accusations against Epstein, Maxwell, and other influential figures; not once in the manuscript stating that it contained any falsehoods or fiction. Roberts was only forced to admit that it contained falsehoods after having to attend court and swear under oath. Yet while her lawyers attempted to excuse the book as a work of fiction in order to divert from the fact that Roberts endlessly provides false testimonies and accusations, Virginia Roberts herself confirmed, again under oath, that the

book is not a work of fiction and is '99% true'. The following is an excerpt from her 2016 deposition:

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And what is this document?

A. This is a document I was writing, I believe back in 2011 regarding some of my life story. And just to make it known, this is a based on true events. But I wouldn't say fictional, but just based on true events. Not everything in it is -- not everything is in there and not everything is, you know, correct. So there's a few mistakes in there.

Q. Okay. Off the top of your head, do you recall any mistakes that are in there now without reading the 140-page document?

MR. EDWARDS (Roberts' lawyer): We're going to be here a long time.

A. Yeah, we could be here a very long time. I mean, I'd like to say a majority of it is correct. Some names have been changed in order to protect other people.

Q. Protect their privacy?

A Protect their privacy, yeah, I would say, just not getting them involved in, if this were to ever go public.

Q Well, again, without rereading the whole manuscript --

A Reading it, yeah. I'm trying to see if I can -- see something in here.

Q. Let me narrow my question and maybe that will help.

A. Yes.

Q. Is there anything -- well, first of all, did you author that entire manuscript?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did anyone else author part of that manuscript?

A. Do you mean did anyone else write this with me?

Q. Right.

A. No.

Q. That's all your writing?

A. This is my writing.

Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, as you sit here right now, is there anything in that manuscript about Ghislaine Maxwell that is untrue?

A. I don't believe so. Like I said, there is a lot of stuff that I actually have left out of here.

In the next section of this report, further transcripts from her deposition, and her seemingly hesitant, at times evasive attitude during cross examination, demonstrate that Roberts has a financial motive to fabricate or at least embellish her story, under advice from associates.

Q. Describe for me the contract that you had with the Mail On Sunday?

A. Could you be a little bit more specific? Like --

Q. Have you had more than one contract with the Mail On Sunday?

A. Well, there was one contract for the picture. And that was to pay me 140,000 for the picture. And then two stories were printed after that for the amount of 10,000 each.

Q. Is that the only money that you received from the Mail On Sunday?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you receive any money for syndication of the photograph?

A. Isn't that what the 140 was for?

Q. I'm asking you.

A. Well, I don't really know what syndication means.

Q. Did you have a written contract with the Mail on Sunday?

A. Yes.

Q. Where is that contract right now?

A. I don't know. I've moved that many times. I -- I lose paperwork wherever I go.

Q. Is it possible it's in the boxes in Sydney?

A. I don't think I kept it, to be honest.

Q. Did you receive it via e-mail?

A. No. I received it – Sharon Churcher handed it to me by paper.

Q. And you signed it?

A. I signed it.

Q. And then did you make a copy of it?

A. No.

Q. You never had a copy of it?

A. Well, I had my own copy. I'm sure she has hers.

Q. Do you recall there being a period of exclusivity?

A. Yes.

Q. What was that period?

A. I believe it was like a three-month period or something.

Q. Okay. And what other terms of the contract, do you recall?

A. I couldn't talk to any other news publication about the story.

Q. Anything else?

A. Not that I know of.

Q. Were you happy when the period was up?

A. Well, I mean, at that time I wanted to write about my story. So I guess, yes, I was happy when that period was up.

Q. And you were actively writing a book at that time, correct?

A. My manuscript. I've never published it.

Q. You were writing the manuscript at the time of your period of exclusivity with Sharon Churcher, correct?

A. Those three months were just craziness. I think I started after that.

Q. You think you started writing the book after the 90 days were up?

A. Yeah.

Q. And then you attempted to sell that manuscript, correct?

A. I didn't attempt to sell it. I went to other publications, like, what do you call them?People -- I'm trying to think of the name of theword. People who publish books, not like a newspaper or anything. And I inquired about what they thought of my manuscript and if they thought it was, you know, a good story. And, yeah.

Q. So you sent the manuscript to these people for the purposes of trying to publish the book, correct?

A. Some people, yes.

Q. And you were trying to get money from the book publication, correct?

A. Well, I wasn't going to sell it to them for free.

Q. But you were unsuccessful in finding someone to publish it, correct?

A. Well, I was always on the fence with it.I wasn't too sure if I wanted to or didn't want to.I was more seeking judgment based upon these people who have done this plenty and plenty of times. Still to this day, I mean, I've had people who have been interested in it and I still don't know if I want to do it yet. I mean, I think there's a lot more that can go into it, you know.

Q. You were actively sending the manuscript to people for purposes of having them reach a deal with you and publish it, correct?

A. No deal was ever talked about. What we talked about was the possibility of publishing it, isit publishing-worthy, would I need to get a ghostwriter. You know, this is the first time I'veever written a manuscript so I didn't know what I was doing.

Q. Okay. You contacted Jarred Weisfeld, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. I'm going to mark a document as Defendant's Exhibit 16. It is a composite exhibit.

(Exhibit 16 marked.)

MR. EDWARDS: Thank you.

Q. Can you tell what this is in terms of what type of document?

A. It's an e-mail from me to Jarred.

Q. Okay. And there's also e-mails from Jarred to you on the same page, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. What was the purpose of you communicating with Jarred?

A. We were trying to figure out if my bookwas -- my manuscript was ever published or publishable. And this was at a time where there was a lot of controversy about what's going on around JE.

…

Q. Do you see on that page an e-mail from yourself to Jarred from June 7th of 2011?

A. Yes.

Q. And in that e-mail you write: Dear Jarred Weisfeld, We spoke on the phone going back a couple months regarding the story I am writing called, The Billionaires Playboy Club. Right?

A. Correct.

Q. I am no longer under any contract and would like to ask you to review my synopsis and if you are interested I would love for you to represent me as my literary agent. Correct?

A. Correct.

Q. I've included some of the press that has covered the ongoing case of Jeffrey Epstein, the world's richest pedophile. And my good friend and journalist Sharon Churcher has a few from her articles that she has written to send to you as well. Correct?

A. Correct.

Q. I am very serious about getting my book published and believe this story will cover many genres of interest, not only by those following the lengthy case, but it is also a woman's story ofglitz, glamour, sorrow, compassion, and true love. I hope you enjoy. Correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Signed, yourself?

A. Yes.

Q. So in about June you sent to Jarred Weisfeld a synopsis and were asking him to represent you as your literary agent to sell the book, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you characterized Sharon Churcher as your good friend, correct?

A. Well, at that time -- you have tounderstand, Jarred and Sharon are very close. Sharon is the one who introduced me to Jarred. And that's just being nice.

Q. Do you disagree that Sharon Churcher was your good friend at that time?

A. Well, at that time I did trust her a lot more than what I do now.

Q. Why did you change your opinion of Sharon Churcher?

A. You know, I -- I just -- I think -- Ithink talking to some journalists can be very dangerous, especially sometimes how words can get taken out of context. And I'm not saying that she's a bad person. I'm just saying that just, I wouldn't call her up and ask her what she's cooking for dinner tonight or how the family is doing.

Q. Did you ever introduce her to your hair stylist?

A. No.

Q. All right.

A. Oh, actually, yes, I did. She did get a haircut where I was at.

Q. All right.

…

Q Similarly can you take a look at this exhibit? It is a compositeof documents produced by your attorneys with various Bates ranges, Bates numbers in between Giuffre 2750 and 3928.

A Okay.

Q. And tell me if you know who this person is?

A. Paulo Silva is somebody who works for the Mail On Sunday. And he was the one in charge of paying me.

Q. Paying you for what?

A. The 140 plus the 10 and the 10.

Q. Okay. And did he also pay you on an ongoing basis for further sales of the photograph of yourself and Prince Andrew?

A. No, it was a set fee of 140 plus the 10and 10. But they broke it up for some reason. So as you can see here, Thanks for transferring the money. I will let you know when it reaches my bank account but just a little bit confused as I have a previous e-mail with the amount owed at 4100. Is there still an outstanding amount yet to be paid.

…

Q. All right. Do you see -- do you see that that's an e-mail from Paulo Silva to your e-mail account?

A. Yes.

Q. On or about March 28th, 2011?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And in this e-mail he introduces himself by name and tells you that he works for Solo

Syndication and represents they are the official syndication agency for Daily Mail and Mail On Sunday and that he's been overseeing the syndication of your image, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. And then he tells you thatwith regard to your image with he can confirm that they've been able to sell it frequentlyover the last couple of weeks. And he listed thenames of various news agencies to whom they had sold the image, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And then he tells you what the sales were as of last Friday and then what your share of it is, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And your share of it was approximately half of whatever the sales were, correct?

A. Yes, it looks that way.

Q. All right. And so then he gave you their general payment terms, correct?

A. Yes. Yep.

Q. And is that syndication deal separate and apart from your deal with the Mail On Sunday to get paid for the stories and a chunk --

A. Yes.

Q. -- for the image?

A. So I will have to correct my previous statement.

Q. Okay.

…

Q. All right. Do you recall a Sandra White?

A. Yes. She was a possible ghostwriter thatI was going to use. Sharon recommended that I got a ghostwriter to be involved. And we nearly settled on some kind of agreement, but I wasn't really happy with the agreement in the end, so I decided not touse her.

Q. You weren't happy with the terms of her price, if you will?

A Yes.

Q. And so you didn't come to an agreement with her, correct?

A. We nearly did, but we in the end did not.

…

Q. And not that you need to read every page, but is it fair to say that you exchanged some portions of your synopsis with Sandra during the course of your interactions with her?

A. Yes. And she rewrote some portion of it as well, which I don't even know, it might be in here. It might not be in here. I don't know what I've kept or not kept.

Q. Okay. And did you get advice from Sharon Churcher with respect to the terms upon which you should be looking for the ghostwriting agreement?

A. Sharon is the one who introduced me to Sandra. I can't remember who was giving me the advice. It's going back so long ago, you know, I don't want to pinpoint somebody and say it was definitely them if it wasn't.

…

Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Defendant's Exhibit 19. Who is Marianne Strong?

A. She's my literary agent.

…

Q. … do you know what kind of document this is?

A. Oh, it's an e-mail from me to Marianne Strong.

Q. You and Marianne Strong were exchanging communication?

A. I don't recall talking about. I don't know who Emily is. But I do understand what she's saying. If I win, then my story would be a much better story to write.

Q. And what case was she referring to, if you know?

A. I think this was regarding probably thetime when I was in -- trying to get involved with the CVRA case [the case submitted by Epstein victims that Virginia unsuccessfully sought to join may years after it had been instigated].

Q. In February of 2014?

A. Correct.

…

Q. All right. In the third paragraph from the top, you said there's another major paper that has followed the story for a while that has worked with me before and they were asking you for the exclusive story but updated and obviously the end outcome from the judicial decision. Who was the other major paper that had followed the story for a while and was asking you for an exclusive story?

A. That would -- that, just coming to mind must be the Daily Mail. If I said I've worked with them before, the only other -- the only other, what do you call them, press, that I had worked with was the Daily Mail, so --

Q. Okay. But you said you had held out on them because Marianne had told you about her contact with Emily at the New York City Post, right?

A. I have held out because you told me about your contact with Emily with the New York Post, and I appreciate you trying to make big headlines for the story and hopefully one day the book. Yes.

It is a strong possibility that not only did Virginia write down a list and alternative account of whom she claims she was trafficked to, but a proven fact that she destroyed that list, and thus destroyed evidence. Her reasoning for this, as provided in her deposition, was that she did so to 'move on' and because she did not want to be reminded of her traumatic experiences. This, however, is extremely doubtful, taking into consideration that she was actively selling her story to The Daily Mail at the time of writing it, and during and after the alleged burning of the 'diary', was actively retelling, in graphic detail, accounts of her alleged abuse and was engaged in ongoing legal activity relating to it.

Q. Do you have any notes of all these people that you were sent to?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Where are your notes?

A. I burned them.

Q. When did you burn them?

A. In a bonfire when I lived at Titusville because I was sick of going through this shit.

Q. Did you have lawyers who were representing you at the time you built a bonfire and burned these notes?

A. I've been represented for a long time, but it was not under the instruction of my lawyers to do this. My husband and I were pretty spiritual people and we believed that these memories were worth burning.

Q. So you burned notes of the men with whom you had sex while you were represented by counsel in litigation, correct?

MR. EDWARDS: Object to the form.A This wasn't anything that was a public document. This was my own private journal, and I didn't want it anymore. So we burned it.

Q. (BY MS. MENNINGER) When did you write that journal?

A. Just over time. I started writing it probably in, I don't know, I can't speculate, 2012, 2011.

Q. So you did not write this journal at the time it happened?

A. No.

Q. You started writing this journal approximately a decade after you claim you finished being sexually trafficked, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you started writing a journal after you had a lawyer, correct?

A. Correct.

…

Q. Okay. And then the last line of that paragraph you say: I would also like to know that I'm going to profit from this as well, correct?

A. Correct.

…

Q. Okay. Do you recall ever having e-mail communications with Sharon Churcher about her publishing the first serial of your book?

A. Serial, what does that mean? I'm sorry.

Q. Like a sequel.

A. A sequel to my book?

Q. Um-hum.

A. My book has never been published.

Q. Right. Do you remember ever e-mailingwith Sharon about her being the one who would publish any subsequent follow-up book?

A. If you have something in front of you to see and show me I would look at it. Like I said, there's a million e-mails here. I mean, there's a whole dead tree with e-mails I don't remember sending. So --

Q. So you don't remember that e-mail chain, as you sit here?

A Yes.

…

Q. And at the bottom of this first page, is Sharon Churcher giving you advice regarding the purchase by Vanity Fair?

A. May I have time to read it, please?

Q. Please.

A. Are we talking about the very bottom one or the one in the kind of middle bottom?

Q. The very bottom one.

A. I would let VF buy your picture via Brad. The big gamble would be to let him also give them a statement…Right. The reason this is a gamble is Jeffrey knows some of the most powerful people in publishing and, once altered, will inevitably try to scare off potential buyers. But the upside is it should helpyou get a good agent. I would have Brad use the phrase sex trafficked as that is a heads up about the book revealing more than be printed.

Q. All right. So is Sharon Churcher writing to you about -- actually, it's cc'd to Brad, correct?

A. Yes.

…

Q. All right. And that's Brad Edwards, who is sitting here, right [Virginia's lawyer]?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And so in the e-mail

paragraph you say: I would also like to know that I'm going to profit from this as well, correct?

A. Correct.

…

Q. Okay. Do you recall ever having e-mail communications with Sharon Churcher about her publishing the first serial of your book?

A. Serial, what does that mean? I'm sorry.

Q. Like a sequel.

A. A sequel to my book?

Q. Um-hum.

A. My book has never been published.

Q. Right. Do you remember ever e-mailingwith Sharon about her being the one who would publish any subsequent follow-up book?

A. If you have something in front of you to see and show me I would look at it. Like I said, there's a million e-mails here. I mean, there's a whole dead tree with e-mails I don't remember sending. So --

Q. So you don't remember that e-mail chain, as you sit here?

A Yes.

…

Q. And at the bottom of this first page, is Sharon Churcher giving you advice regarding the purchase by Vanity Fair?

A. May I have time to read it, please?

Q. Please.

A. Are we talking about the very bottom one or the one in the kind of middle bottom?

Q. The very bottom one.

A. I would let VF buy your picture via Brad. The big gamble would be to let him also give them a statement…Right. The reason this is a gamble is Jeffrey knows some of the most powerful people in publishing and, once altered, will inevitably try to scare off potential buyers. But the upside is it should helpyou get a good agent. I would have Brad use the phrase sex trafficked as that is a heads up about the book revealing more than be printed.

Q. All right. So is Sharon Churcher writing to you about -- actually, it's cc'd to Brad, correct?

A. Yes.

…

Q. All right. And that's Brad Edwards, who is sitting here, right [Virginia's lawyer]?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And so in the e-mail

from Sharon Churcher to you regarding whether or not you should let Vanity Fair buy your picture, she's also recommending in the last line that you should have Brad use the phrase sex trafficked, correct?

A. If a statement is made, yes. I don't think Vanity Fair ever did, anyway.

Q. And the picture that they're talking about there is the one with Prince Andrew, correct?

A. That's -- yeah, the big one.

Q. The one that was previously sold?

A. Yes.

…

Q. Exhibit 25. Again, e-mails to and from yourself and Sharon Churcher, correct?

A Yes.

Q. And in this e-mail, it's dated 4/12/2015 on the first page of this composite e-mail?

A Yes.

Q. She is encouraging you to do a book, correct?

A. As she has from the beginning, yes.

Q. Right. And she suggested a roman a clef. Do you know what that means?

A. No.

[NOTE: A Roman à clef, (French: "novel with a key") is a novel that has the extraliterary interest of portraying well-known real people more or less thinly disguised as fictional characters. I.e. Sharon Churcher is advising Virginia Roberts to add well-known people into her manuscript].

…

Q. On the third page back, she wrote you to compliment you about David Boies taking your case, correct?

A. (Deponent perused document sotto voce.) Just reading about David Boies taking your case. How fantastic, Jenna! Have you asked him how he'd feel about reviving your book? It would be an incredible shame if the other project lifts your story, which it could at least somewhat. Jarred is still very keen to represent you. I'm afraid I screwed you by steering you to Mimi… Much love, Shaza. Yes.

Q. So she's encouraging you to have Boies also help you in the book writing department, right?

MR. EDWARDS: Object to the form. Mischaracterizes the exhibit.

A Is the question pending?

Q. (BY MS. MENNINGER) Yes.

A. She -- yeah, she encouraged me to -- I mean, once she saw that, you know, there was more litigation going on, she thought -- like she says, How fantastic. And up until recently she's still been trying to get me to get the book out.

AGE AND TIMELINE

When originally making her accusations, Virginia Roberts told the press that she had been 15-years-old when first meeting Jeffrey Epstein.

“He [Epstein] was laying naked on top of a massage table” Roberts told The Sun newspaper. “I’m a 15-year-old girl and seeing him on the table was weird”.

“I spent my sweet 16 birthday on his island in the Caribbean next to St. James Isle” she wrote in her memoir. “He liked to call it Little St. Jeffs – his ego was as enormous as his apetitie for fornicating. I was giving a collection of designer makeup from London. Ghislaine made a joke after I blew out my array of candles and said I’d soon be too old for Jeffrey’s taste, and soon they’d have to trade me in. She was only half joking in a sad reality though”.

Yet this cannot possibly be true. Virginia was born on the 9th August, 1983, and met Epstein while working at the Mar-a-Lago Club in fall of 2000, when she was 17-years-old.

Further to this, while under oath in her 2009 deposition, Roberts claimed that she had been Epstein’s ‘sex slave’ for 4 years, and continued to perpetuate this claim to numerous news outlets. Yet this also cannot be a truthful statement. Roberts met Epstein in 2000 and claims to have had no further physical contact with him after September 2002 when she went to Thailand, meaning that she could only have spent two years with Epstein at most.

It is curious to note that not only did Roberts, under oath and to several newspapers, make it appear that she was only 15 when she first met Jeffrey Epstein, but also gave false information under oath to seemingly attempt to support this claim and her original alleged timeline – omitting every job she had before meeting Jeffrey Epstein, and stating that her position at Mar-a-Lago was her first job.

Robert’s omitting the fact that she in fact held multiple jobs before this, and indeed extensively throughout the time that she alleges to have been paid thousands by Epstein, is not only questionable in that it would be hard to forget having such jobs, but suspicious.

In the 2016 cross examination, Virginia appeared awkward, even evasive in her answers when asked to confirm the positions she had held prior to being hired by Mar-a-Lago:

Q. Can you tell me what your -- between 1996 and -- well, in 1996 to 2002, what was the first job that you held?

A. I believe the first job that I held was in the year 2000, and that was at Mar-a-Lago.

Q. Okay. And is that the first job you held as a teenager or at any point in time, that you recall?

A. Yes, that I recall.

Q. All right. Did you ever work at Taco Bell?

A. My ex-boyfriend used to work there and I would help him out. I was never really -- I don'tthink I was employed there. He was my boyfriend so I stayed there with him all the time.

Q. What was his name?

A. I called him [redacted] but I think his real name was

Q. Okay. And so he was employed there, but you were not employed there?

A. I used to go there and help him out.

Q. Did you have a uniform?

A. I would have to wear a shirt when I was there, yes. He was the manager, so --

Q. Oh, a Taco Bell shirt?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And did you get a paycheck from them?

A I believe [redacted] paid me.

Q. How did he pay you?

A. With a check.

Q. Written from Taco Bell?

A. You know, I don't know, sorry. I just --I remember he asked me to come in and help him out, and that's -- I didn't really consider myself an employee there, but --

Q. Just wearing the shirt and getting a paycheck didn't cause you to think you were an employee?

A. Well --

MR. EDWARDS: Object to the form.

Mischaracterizes her testimony.

A. Yeah, I know. I mean, it was my boyfriend. I was helping him out. So that's the way I looked at it.

Q. (BY MS. MENNINGER) Is there any other place that you wore a uniform and got a check from in the years from '96 to 2000?

A. I did work at Publix as a bag girl, but that was only for a couple weeks, I think.

Q. Which Publix was that?

A. I believe it was in Loxahatchee.

Q. Okay. Do you remember the street?

A .No.

Q. All right. Anywhere else you wore a uniform and got a paycheck?

A. I volunteered at a bird aviary.

Q. What was the name of that?

A. I don't know the name of it. But it was -- I'm an animal lover. So --

Q. Okay.

A. -- it's something I enjoyed doing.

Q. Okay. Did you get a check from them?

A. I volunteered. I think they eventuallyput me on some kind of payroll. I don't think it was much, though.

Q. Okay. So what year were you in helpingout in a Taco Bell wearing the uniform and getting a check?

A. I have no idea when it comes to years.

Q. Was it before or after Mar-a-Lago?

A. Before Mar-a-Lago.

Q. Okay. And how --

A. Mar-a-Lago was my first real job so -

Q. What's that?

A. Mar-a-Lago was like my first real job.

Q. What do you mean by real job?

A. Like, you know, fully employed, sit down for an interview and, you know.

Q. Okay. So Taco Bell, was Taco Bell the first place you got a paycheck from?

MR. EDWARDS: Object to the form.Uhm, I don't know, to be honest.

Q. And in what order did, Taco Bell, Publix, and Mar-a-Lago, and the aviary, sorry?

A. Oh, I would have to guess. Do you want me to guess?

Q. Sure.

A. Um, I would say Publix. And then, I think that's when I helped my boyfriend out at Taco Bell and then I think the aviary.

Despite Roberts frequently, under oath, stating that she had made an 'honest' mistake in claiming that she had first been groomed by Epstein and Maxwell when she was 15 and that she had not known the date that she had started work at Mar-a-Lago until looking at the records in late 2015/early 2016, a CV written by Roberts in 2015 proved that she had been well aware of the correct date – before she swore that she was 15 at the time to both the court and the international press:

Q All right. And Mar-a-Lago Resort and Spa you put down as a place you had worked, correct?

A Correct.

Q And you typed in August 2000 to September 2001, correct?

A Correct.

In the CV/resume, Roberts got the year that she started at Mar-a-Largo correct, yet fabricated many job roles throughout the document, and also recorded her employment at Mar-a-Largo as having lasted over a year.



From examining the depositions and the plethora of articles spanning back to 2011, a timeline forms showing that Virginia Roberts, after having been encouraged to write and sell a book using the ‘roman clef’ approach, and after seeing the huge sums of money that publishers and newspapers not only could, but had, paid her for her sensationalist headline-making claims, went on to add further accusations – all without proof, and some made against (as the very definition of ‘roman clef’ details) “well-known people”, of whom she had previously stated, on record, were innocent of any wrongdoing.

The two most prominent of these individuals, were, and remain, Prince Andrew and Alan Dershowitz – the former whom Roberts had clearly told the press she had met, yet whom she had not had any sexual encounter with.

The latter, Alan Dershowitz, was a much later addition – once again after Virginia, in her own words, had previously not implicated in any wrongdoing until after being told of his name by Sharon Churcher.

Roberts would later go on to claim that she had indeed told Churcher of being ‘raped’ by Mr. Dershowitz. Churcher, however, confirmed that she had not. Not only does the existence of Churcher’s email to Roberts support this, not to mention the fact that being a reporter lapping-up stories from Roberts – particularly a reporter who already had claimed to Roberts that she thought Dershowitz was a ‘pedo, but there’s no proof’ - but the following excerpt from an article published in The New Yorker in August 2019 cements Churcher’s claim further.

“In February, 2011, Giuffre heard from Sharon Churcher, a reporter for the *Mail on Sunday*, inquiring about her time with Epstein. Churcher asked if she had any way to substantiate her story. Giuffre had a picture of her posing with Prince Andrew, the Duke of York. “She literally was on the plane the next day,” Giuffre told me. (The *Mail on Sunday* later paid her a hundred and forty thousand dollars for the use of the photo and twenty thousand for giving interviews.) Churcher was the first journalist she had ever met, and, Giuffre said, “I felt like we were buddies.” As Churcher showed her pictures of prominent men in Epstein’s circle, Giuffre identified some of those she’d had sex with.”

At no point did Virginia Roberts – despite being shown the images by an overeager Sharon Churcher – identify Alan Dershowitz or Prince Andrew as individuals whom she had had sex with, or (being the term she would later be advised to use) had been ‘trafficked’ to.

The New Yorker article continued: “When I asked why she [Virginia] had decided to name Dershowitz, she said, “Jeffrey got away with it, basically. And Dershowitz was one of the people [in providing him with legal assistance] who enabled that to happen.” She went on, “Dershowitz thinks he’s a tyrant and can get away with anything. And I wanted to say, I might be as meek as a mouse, but I’m going to hold you accountable.”





3. THE ACCUSED



PRINCE ANDREW

As has already been discussed, Virginia Roberts clearly stated, on record, that – despite meeting Prince Andrew in a harmless, social capacity while with Epstein and Maxwell – the Prince did not act inappropriately towards her, did not rape her, and the pair did not engage in any sexual activity.

Despite originally claiming that she met Andrew when she was 15/16 while on a trip to London in 2001, Virginia was in fact 17 when she claims to have been introduced to him after he visited Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein at Maxwell's London townhouse.

After changing her story from a simple 'fourth wheel' social outdoing and quick photo/momento opportunity with Andrew' into a sensationalist and lucrative story that was sold to newspapers, Virginia made numerous glaring errors in her attempts – or lack thereof – to maintain consistency and credibility in her yarn.

In the widely-read article in February 2011, the Daily Mail recorded Virginia's original account of her encounter with the Prince:

"Finally, they went to London. 'After we landed, we drove straight to Ghislaine's house,' says Virginia. 'I was given a small upstairs bedroom. The following morning, Ghislaine came in.

She was chirpy and jumped on the bed saying, "Get up, sleepyhead. You've got a big day. We've got to go shopping. You need a dress as you're going to dance with a Prince tonight."

'She said I needed to be "smiley" and bubbly because he was the Queen's son.

Ghislaine and I went to Burberry, where she bought me a £5,000 bag, and to a few other designer stores where we bought a couple of dresses, a pair of embroidered jeans and a pink singlet, perfume and make-up.

We got back to Ghislaine's house at around 4pm and I ran straight upstairs to shower and dress.

When I went downstairs, Ghislaine and Jeffrey were in the lounge. There was a

knock at the door. Ghislaine led Andrew in and we kissed each other on the cheek. 'Ghislaine served tea from a porcelain pot and biscuits. She knew Sarah Ferguson and they talked fondly about Andrew's daughters.

Then Ghislaine asked Andrew how old he thought I was and he guessed 17 and they all laughed. Ghislaine made a joke that I was getting too old for Jeffrey.

She said, "He'll soon have to trade her in." It was widely known that he liked young girls.' The four of them went out to dinner and on to Tramp nightclub where, she says, Andrew danced with her.

'After about an hour-and-a-half, we drove back to Ghislaine's.

All of us went upstairs and I asked Jeffrey to snap a picture of me with the Prince. I wanted something to show my Mom. Ghislaine and Jeffrey left us after that, and later Andrew left."

Despite later being asked in court, under oath, to highlight all inaccuracies contained in the article, while pointing out that certain dates and quotes were either wrong or false, Roberts did not highlight the following line as being inaccurate:

"There is no suggestion that there was any sexual contact between Virginia and Andrew, or that Andrew knew that Epstein paid her to have sex with his friends".

Furthermore, there are also contradictions between what Virginia Roberts told Sharon Churcher of They Daily Mail, and the account she alleges to have written in her 'diary', as reported by several outlets, including, originally, by Radar Online, whom she provided her 'diary' to in January 2015 [Radar Online is a news outlet that Churcher also contributed to]:

"*Like clockwork, the guest of honor knocked at the door just after 6 p.m., and Maxwell ushered him inside. "They spoke kindly of his daughters … [and] the conversation turned to me next, starting with Ghislaine playing the 'guess my age' game, which was one of her favorite's among her morally impaired friends," sniped Roberts. "He guessed 17 and Ghislaine chuckled, 'She'll be too*



too old soon,' and told him I was really only 16."

This could not possibly have been said, as Roberts was 17 at the time; and thus it acts as one of many examples of where Roberts has fabricated parts of her story.

The embellished article then continues with her evolved account:

'The titillated Prince later took the teen to Club Tramp, where he grabbed them both an "alcoholic cocktail," she wrote in the diary obtained by Radar.

"He was the most incredibly hideous dancer I had ever seen and not to mention how embarrassing it was to have to be the one he was smashing pelvics with, even if he was a prince."


"We only stayed at the club for a little over an hour before his highness was dripping from sweat and ready to embark to another quieter setting, where we could get to know each other better, and from the way he was fondling me on the dance floor, I knew that was a man's polite way of saying he wanted to intimately get acquainted."


The randy royal and his young prey soon headed to Maxwell's private townhouse to get down to business, she recalled.

"I led him into the upstairs bathroom next to the room I was staying in," revealed Roberts.

"I was doing my best trying to put on a good show for him by slowly undressing and started to pour a bath. The room quickly filled with steam from the hot water as I turned to Andrew and began to kiss his neck and undress him.

In the bath, the two "continued with back


was a prince. We only stayed at the club for a little over an hour before his highness was dripping from sweat and ready to embark to another quieter setting, where we could get to know eachother better, and from the way he was fondling me on the dance floor, I knew that was a man's polite way of saying he wanted to intimatley get acquainted.


I had pored in. We continued with
back and Forth Foreplay, touching, kissing,
and him even LicKing my toes. I love your feet
he whispered, they are so irresistable. That
was defenitley a 1st for me, but I went
with it all, FearFul OF letting down the Prince
and in turn JeFFrey and Ghislane.

and forth foreplay, touching, kissing, and him even licking my toes. 'I love your feet,' he whispered, 'they are so irresistible,'" Roberts recalled the Prince saying.

"That was definitely a 1st for me, but I went with it all, fearful of letting down the Prince and in turn Jeffrey and Ghislaine."

In this account, Roberts omits any reference to Maxwell or Epstein having directly told her to have sexual relations with Prince Andrew. It also apparently embellishes the story, adding quotes from Andrew not contained in other accounts made by Roberts.

Roberts' frequent, yet recent claim alleging that Prince Andrew has a foot fetish is also curious considering that, around the time that her account was published, various articles were published reviving the infamous images of Prince Andrew's wife, Sarah Ferguson, having her toes sucked by her then lover whilst on holiday in St Tropez.

In another account, Virginia claims: "We all sat down for some tea and biscuits while conversing idle chitchat. By the sounds of it, Ghislane knew well of his recently divorced ex-wife Fergie, whom they were all taking turns in slandering her for one reason or another. It was apparent there were some fresh wounds still lingering on and as good friends do, it was their way of helping him get over her."

This claim is illogical and highly unlikely. Prince Andrew and Fergie had in fact become estranged in 1992 and were divorced in 1996 – five years before Roberts alleges the chat over tea and biscuits took place. Further to note, Prince Andrew and Sarah Ferguson are well-documented for having a close and amicable relationship following their

THE Sun

ONLY 40p



LAST NIGHT'S SOCCER ACTION

PLUS: Shelbourne's bid for Euro glory. Page 35

FERGIE TOE-JOB



Picture will make her Royal outcast

WORLD PHOTO SENSATION

divorce, with the pair agreeing to share custody of their children, and Sarah Ferguson even continuing to live with the Prince at his home, Sunninghill Park, until 2004. In 2008, Ferguson once again shared a home, the Royal Lodge, Windsor, with Prince Andrew], and prior to this – around the time that Virginia claims Maxwell, Epstein and Andrew were talking ill of her, Ferguson was seen accompanying the trio in social settings and is known to have had a friendly relationship with them.

Roberts then goes on to state:

“When his [Andrew’s] daughters were mentioned, Ghislane and Jeffrey treaded only speaking highly of them, and offering their sympathy to the young ones”.

This is also an odd claim to make, considering that not only had Ferguson and the Prince been divorced for five years yet were still friends and still raising the children together in their shared home, but Andrew and Maxwell had first become ‘friends’ in the early eighties, with Maxwell later first introducing the Prince to Epstein in 1998 – tWO years after the amicable divorce between Andrew and Sarah Ferguson.
From that date onwards, the three met on numerous occasions before Virginia Roberts claims to have met Prince Andrew. Most notable of these social meetings were when Epstein and Maxwell stayed as guests with Andrew



at Balmoral Castle in mid-1999. According to flight logs, Epstein and Maxwell liked Ferguson that much, in fact, that she was warmly welcomed to Epstein’s island in April 1998.

The trio met again in February 2000 in Florida and were photographed with Donald Trump – another of Epstein’s friends. Andrew’s name also appears on a flight from New Jersey to Palm Beach, Florida, on 12 May 2000, reports claim. Epstein and Ms Maxwell were among a star-studded guest list at a party hosted by the Queen in June 2000. The Dance of the Decades event, which saw more than 600 guests descend on Windsor Castle, marked four royal birthdays – The Princess Royal's 50th, the Queen Mother's 100th and Princess Margaret's 70th. Prince Andrew, the Queen's third child, told the BBC that Epstein was there at his invitation, not the Royal Family's, but was to some extent Ms

Maxwell's "plus one". Prince Andrew was further pictured accompanying Maxwell at private parties and celebrity functions both in the UK and in the US that year. They were also photographed together at the wedding of the prince's former girlfriend, Aurelia Cecil, near Salisbury in Wiltshire in September 2000, and then at a Halloween party thrown by model Heidi Klum in Manhattan in October of the same year. Therefore, the claim that Epstein, Maxwell and Prince Andrew would have the discussion described by Virginia Roberts – a discussion pertaining to events that had happened five years prior, and after the trio and Ferguson had met several times over the years beforehand – is nonsensical and extremely unlikely.

Virginia has provided numerous other contradictory accounts of the alleged first encounter with Prince Andrew, and had previously claimed that she hadn't been trafficked at all until 'two years after meeting Epstein – later changing that to 6 months upon realizing that it could not have been possible.

In one account, Roberts claims that in the car on the way back from Tramp nightclub, Ghislaine Maxwell was the person to tell her that she would have to sleep with Prince Andrew. In another, she claims that both Maxwell and Epstein told her at the same time. In her sworn affidavit, however, she claimed that Epstein had been the person who instructed her – not on the night in question, but before she even met Andrew, saying: "Epstein told me I was meeting a major Prince. He told me to exceed everything I had been taught. He emphasized that whatever Prince Andrew wanted, I was to make sure he got".

Contradicting this claim further, in an interview with Dateline, Virginia said: "The first time in London, I was so young. Ghislaine woke me up in the morning and she said, 'you're going to meet a Prince today'. I didn't know at that point that I was going to be trafficked to that Prince. And then that night Prince Andrew came to her [Maxwell's] house in London. And we went out to club Tramp. Prince Andrew got me alcohol. It was in the VIP section. I'm pretty sure it was vodka… And we leave club Tramp. And I hop in the car with Ghislaine and Jeffrey. And Ghislaine said, "He's coming back to the house. And I want you to do for him what you do for Epstein". During her interview for the Netflix documentary 'Epstein: Filthy Rich", Virginia changed her story yet again, this time claiming that Maxwell ordered her to have sex with Andrew not while in the car travelling home, but when back inside: "We get back to the townhouse and we go upstairs. I have this little yellow Kodak camera, and I asked Jeffrey to take a photo of me and Prince Andrew together. Ghislaine's literally right behind me. Ghislaine tells me 'you're gonna have to do for him what you do for Jeffrey'. And it hit me. And right after that photo was taken, I was sexually abused by Prince Andrew for the first time".



Without any evidence, Virginia Roberts claims that she was 'trafficked' to Prince Andrew a further two times, having sex with him at Epstein's New York mansion, and finally on Epstein's Island on Little Saint James.

"I had sex with him three times, including one orgy" Roberts said in her sworn affidavit. "I knew he was a member of the British Royal family, but I just called him Andy".

As with her claim regarding her encounter with Prince Andrew in London, Virginia's accusations involving these other two alleged encounters have changed over time and she has provided numerous contradictory testimonies – in one case even a demonstrable fabrication.

The most damning of these alleged encounters is the third and final.

THE THIRD ALLEGED ENCOUNTER

"The third time I had sex with Andy was in an orgy on Epstein's private island in the US Virgin Islands" Virginia told The Sun. "I was around 18 at the time. Epstein, Andy, approximately eight other young girls, and I had sex together… Afterwards we all had dinner by the cabanas. I felt disgusted, and quickly went to sleep. Prince Andrew must have flown out early next morning, as I did not see him when I got up."

Yet nowhere in the detailed account Roberts provides in her book is an orgy with Andrew on Epstein's island alleged – an inexcusable absence that would suggest that the event did not occur.

In fact, in her memoir, Roberts records a completely different location and set of circumstances for her third encounter with Prince Andrew.

THE Sun
JUST 40p
Thursday, January 22, 2015 THE PEOPLE'S PAPER thesun.co.uk



WE'VE HAD A MAMMARY LAPSE
SEE PAGE 3



THE NTA AWARDS

A FAIER OLD VIEW
Towie Sam out for the night
SEE PAGE 7 & TVBiz

WIN A VILLA FOR 12 WEEKS
WITH Sun HOLIDAYS
SEE PAGE 28

'SLAVE GIRL' BOMBSHELL

MY SEX WITH ANDY AND 8 GIRLS

By PETE SAMSON

THE "slave" who claims she was forced to sleep with Prince Andrew said he once had sex with her and eight girls.



Virginia Roberts, 31, left, said the orgy took place on his paedo pal Jeffrey Epstein's private island.

In new court documents, she is calling for Andrew, 54, to face justice. She said: "I hope my attorneys can interview him under oath and that he will tell the truth."

Full Story — Page Five



Claims .. Andrew this week

Originally, rather than alleging she'd had an orgy with Prince Andrew on Epstein's island (conveniently with 8 individuals who have never been named nor whom have ever come forward to confirm the accusation), Virginia Roberts claimed that she had shared an intimate sexual mini-break with the Prince in Santa Fe, New Mexico. In her memoir, The Billionaire's Playground, she goes into vivid detail of the alleged event:

"Two days later I was gone again, beckoned to be in Santa Fe. Ghislaine only told me that I was to meet someone there, not sure of whom that was. It wasn't my place to ask questions. As far as I knew it could be anyone and I had no choice but to be compliant to their needs. It was the middle of the day when I arrived at the airport. One of the ranch hands came to pick me up in a big work truck that smelled like dirt and sweat but I didn't mind that's what I loved about the When we arrived at the mansion my guest was already there waiting for me. I couldn't wipe the look off my face as he turned around from the bookshelf that he was standing at. Hello - that same old cheesy grin greeted me once again. It was his highness Prince Andrew, and what a sight. He wrapped his arms around my waist and greeted me like an old friend. hugged him back rolling my eyes at the same time, already dreading what lay in store over the next couple of days. My job was to entertain him endlessly, whether that meant having to bestow him my body during an erotic massage or simply take him horseback riding. For the next couple of days he was to be my only concern, but he wasn't. I called in checking on T.J a few times a day, not wanting to be a nag but just hoping he hadn't had any thoughts about relapsing. He was doing great, even applying for a couple jobs.

"Being reassured by him made my time away less complicated and thankfully with the help of my ever- ready Xanax I was able to cope with the ordeal. The mansion was completely empty save a couple of maids who also cooked our dinners for us, and a couple bodyguards that we hardly even saw at all. The time dragged by slowly for me as was counting down the hours until I flew away again, anywhere but here I thought. It wasn't easy meeting the sexual desires of these strange men, the Prince being one of them. He loved my feet and even licked in between my toes. Then there was the lack of passion in the intimacy we shared, to him I was just another girl and to me he was just another job…Thankfully one of Jeffrey's assistants from New York called me on my cell phone early in the morning on the second day to send me in on my next adventure. I had a flight booked for me to leave that afternoon to arrive at La Guardia airport in the evening".

Under oath in 2016, despite her previous in-depth account of having been trafficked to Prince Andrew and having sex with him while staying in New Mexico, Roberts appears to 'slip-up' and forget her own fabrications, stating that she did not spend any time with Andrew in Santa Fe:


Q. What's the next one you have a checkmark by? [Roberts was asked to highlight any false statements made in articles by The Daily Mail].

A. "I also saw Prince Andrew at a Ranch in New Mexico."

Q. Did you tell that to Sharon Churcher?

A. No. And I think it's a mistake. Maybe she meant somewhere else, but because we had been talking about so much, maybe she just put New Mexico.

The only other individual lending partial support to Virginia Roberts' claim that she had sex with Prince Andrew at Epstein's island, is Epstein's former employee, maintenance worker Steve Scully. "It was probably around 2004 I saw Prince Andrew" he said on Netflix's documentary. "He was at the pool [on Epstein's island]. He was with, at that time an unknown girl to me; she was young. She didn't have any top on. They were engaged in foreplay. He was grabbing her and grinding against her… After Jeffrey Epstein was arrested, this taskforce interviewed me. They had me




look at a large series – it must have been 60 or 70 photos. Then they showed me one of Virginia Roberts, but it was a current photo, and I couldn't identify her. But I was told to check a photo that had emerged from all of this investigative work, and that photo is a photo of Prince Andrew with a young blond girl. And as soon as I saw her; that was the girl he was sort of grinding against at the pool. She was at the time wearing a bikini bottom. That's it. But I can absolutely tell you that the photo of her in the picture, which is her when I think she was 17 – that was Virginia Roberts". Scully's claim, however, cannot possibly be true, and was not fact-checked by Netflix. If it had been, they would have realised that Scully claimed the event took place in 2004, when Virginia was married with children, living in Australia, and hadn't had contact with Epstein for years.

As Virginia Roberts' Prince Andrew story first began to circulate, the British, and indeed international press began to promote the claims made by a former Florida Police Officer, John Mark Dougan. Dougan now generates some of his income from promoting his own website and claims – the most notable being that he allegedly possesses copies of the CCTV tapes seized from Epstein's home; tapes, he claims, which implicate many famous figures in Jeffrey's rape of minors. In one of these tapes, the press claimed, MI6 feared Prince Andrew would be shown engaging in criminal activity of a sexual nature, perhaps with a minor whilst in Epstein's home. Yet Dougan's claims and his credibility took a serious blow during VoteWatch's recent investigation into online conspiracy theories, when one of his videos which he claimed was part of the CCTV footage and showed NBC media mogul Steve Burke having sex with an underage girl, was proven to in fact be stolen from a Spanish porn film, with both willing participants being over the age of consent, and neither being Steve Burke. Upon being presented with the truth, Dougan bizarrely threatened legal action. YouTuber Shaun Attwood continued to promote the conspiracy theory, and a popular crime podcaster who had helped promote Dougan's claims and who had claimed he had seen the Epstein tapes, also threatened to release personal details of those disproving the claims to his million-strong audience. Interestingly, despite now having been proven to have been dishonest about possessing CCTV tapes taken from Jeffrey Epstein's Florida home, Mark Dougan is now living near Moscow after being granted political asylum.

EXCLUSIVE - Revealed: Ex-cop who MI6 fears has leaked files on Prince Andrew's friendship with Jeffrey Epstein to Russia breaks silence to say he's got hours of footage taken from inside paedophile's Florida mansion

- John Mark Dougan has secret files on Prince Andrew's friendship with the billionaire paedophile Jeffrey Epstein
- The former Florida cop has been in Moscow since 2016 when he sought asylum to avoid arrest in the US for alleged computer hacking
- Although he did not work on the original Epstein investigation, copies of the original files were secretly given to him by a colleague
- British intelligence chiefs fear he has leaked the secret Andrew files to the Kremlin
- But Dougan told MailOnline that he has the files encrypted around the world with associates and hasn't given them to Russia



PROF. ALAN DERSHOWITZ

As with her accusations against Prince Andrew and others, Virginia Roberts' wild and shocking account of her alleged abuse at the hands of Harvard Professor and lawyer Alan Dershowitz has changed numerous times, and seriously lacks any credibility.

It is a fact that Roberts did not ever accuse Dershowitz of any wrongdoing, and it was only after journalist Sharon Churcher told her 'don't forget to mention Alan Dershowitz… you probably met him', in an effort to name drop famous personalities to secure a book deal, did Virginia begin speaking of the prominent lawyer. Even then, when adding him into her book, The Billionaire's Playground, Virginia did not accuse him of any crime, let alone claim that she'd had sexual encounters with him:

"Jeffrey's business was running well from the looks of his attentiveness in the office he owned in the Upper East Side of Manhattan. Alan Dershowitz, his colleague and personal solicitor, a bird of the same feather, I had seen hanging around the island and Jeffrey's Manhattan mansion, more and more these days. Alan's taste for the young and beautiful was a bias for a blooming business relationship between him and Jeffrey. After an explicit session of Jeffrey's vulgar pilgrimage into my body,

we were interrupted by a knock at the door by Jeffrey?s good friend, Alan. I wrapped myself up in Jeffrey?s pink bed sheets, which is the color preference he chose to sleep in because it reminded him of the same color of his own words ?Pussy?, and covered my face from the unexpected intrusion. Jeffrey got up and wrapped a towel around his loins and answered the door completely calm. Opening the bedroom door and letting Alan inside they began to converse about business immediately, right in front of me. Jeffrey started to tell Alan what needed to be done while he jostled some notes down quickly. I peeked my head from underneath the covers thinking they were too wrapped up in their work to notice me get up and dressed, and Jeffrey turned back to me and told me to just stay there this would only take a second. Going back to Alan he turned his focus back into work and hustled out a few more orders before letting Alan out of the door and returning his attention to me.



This is literally the only time that Virginia Roberts mentions Alan Dershowitz in her detailed memoir.

Yet, while actively seeking to sell her story to the papers and secure a publishing deal for her book, Virginia Roberts' story in relation to Dershowitz changed yet again. First she accused him of having stood talking casually to Epstein whilst she performed oral sex on the disgraced financier. Then she changed her story to claim that Dershowitz had been witness to multiple rapes against minors. Then she changed her story once more to claim that she had been trafficked to Dershowitz 'at least seven times' – at Epstein's various residences, on his island, in a car, and on his private plane.

Almost immediately, Dershowitz called foul play and suggested that there was an ulterior, financial motive behind Virginia's sensational claims.

In media appearances, Dershowitz argued that Giuffre's lawyers, Edwards and Cassell, had conspired with her to fabricate testimony that would negate Epstein's non-prosecution agreement. "If they could find a lawyer who helped draft the agreement who also was a criminal having sex—wow, that could help them blow up the agreement," he told CNN. "So they sat down together, the three of them, these two sleazy,

unprofessional, disbarrable lawyers . . . they said, 'Who would fit into this description?' . . . They and the woman got together and contrived and made this up." He declared, "The end result of this case should be she should go to jail, the lawyers should be disbarred, and everybody should understand that I am completely and totally innocent." The case had continued, he suggested, only because Edwards and Cassell were "prepared to lie, cheat, and steal." Noting that Giuffre had made her allegations in a statement, rather than in a sworn affidavit, he said that her lawyers had encouraged that choice, "because they know if they submit a sworn affidavit they would go to jail."

Soon afterward, Giuffre submitted a sworn affidavit. "I have recently seen a former Harvard law professor identified as Alan Dershowitz on television calling me a liar," she wrote. "He is lying by denying that he had sex with me. That man is the same man that I had sex with at least six times." Dershowitz argued that he was being used as a "stalking horse": Boies's real goal, he said, was to use him as an example to frighten Leslie Wexner into paying a large settlement. He insisted that he had never met Giuffre, that he had never seen photographs of naked girls in Epstein's house, and that he had never seen Epstein with underage girls; if he had, he would have reported him to the authorities. Giuffre was a "serial liar," he said—nothing she said could be believed."



The defamation case between the two lawyers was eventually settled out of court, with Virginia's lawyers publically admitting that Dershowitz should never have been accused of having sex with minors.

On 12th April, 2019, Maria Farmer filed an affidavit that Virginia Roberts claimed supported her accusations against Alan Dershowitz.

Yet the affidavit does not accuse Dershowitz of any wrong doing, and Farmer confirms that she did not witness the lawyer engaging in any sexual activity:

"In approximately 1995, I was an artist and a graduate student and I met Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell at an art show where I was displaying some of my art. Epstein said that if I sold him one of my paintings for half price he would help me with my career. I sold him the painting that night for half price which was approximately $6000.00. In 1996, Jeffrey Epstein called me and told me he was looking for someone to help him with acquiring art. I took the job with Epstein but ultimately my job responsibilities were not simply to purchase art but included manning the front door at his New York mansion and keeping records of people who came to the home… Alan Dershowitz was an individual who came to visit Epstien at his New York mansion a number of times when I was working for Epstein. Dershowitz was very comfortable at the home and would come in and walk upstairs. On a number occasions [sic] I witnessed Dershowitz at the NY mansion going upstairs at the same time there were young girls under the age of 18 who were present upstairs in the house".

The timing of Farmer's affidavit also coincided with Virginia Roberts initiating lawsuit against Dershowitz for defamation, after the lawyer publically stated that she was lying about the alleged abuse.

Despite the claim by Farmer that she witnessed Dershowitz, Epstein's lawyer, 'going upstairs at the same time there were young girls' present upstairs, her affidavit does not mention on which floor she claims these girls to have been present, and omits the fact that there are seven floors and 40 rooms in the New York townhouse, each used, sometime simultaneously by a variety of guests and staff for business and leisure purposes.


MARIA FARMER

Dershowitz hit back at Maria Farmer, writing in an article for Newsmax:

“The central witness in the Jeffrey Epstein investigation — the person relied on by Netflix in its documentary series "Filthy Rich" — is a 50-year-old woman named Maria Farmer. It now turns out that she may have been motivated by the anti-Semitic attitudes



she has long harbored, to falsely accuse prominent Jews of sexual misconduct. This is some of the bigotry Maria Farmer spewed during a recorded two-hour interview that can be heard online, such as:

"I had a hard time with all Jewish people." "I think it’s all the Jews." "They think Jewish DNA is better than the rest of us." "These people truly believe they are chosen every one of them." "All the Jewish people I met are pedophiles that run the world economy." "They are 'Jewish supremacists'" and they are "all connected" through a mysterious organization called MEGA, which is run by Leslie Wexner who is "the head of the snake."

Farmer claimed to have evidence that the Israeli Mossad hired Jeffrey Epstein to video tape prominent American political leaders committing acts of pedophilia so that Israel could blackmail them into doing their bidding, and that the entire conspiracy was under the protection and direction of "The Rothschild’s."

These anti-Semitic canards sound like they could have come directly from "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion" and other classic anti-Semitic forgeries and screeds, but all of this and more come from the bigoted brain and malicious mouth of Maria Farmer.



Although she hadn't accused me directly of any wrongdoing, she has provided a sworn affidavit claiming the following:

"4. Alan Dershowitz was an individual who came to visit Epstein at his New York mansion a number of times when I was working for Epstein. Dershowitz was very comfortable at the home and would come in and walk upstairs. On a number [of] occasions I witnessed Dershowitz at the NY mansion going upstairs at the same time there were young girls under the age of 18 who were present upstairs in the house."

The problem is that Farmer acknowledges that she terminated her relationship with Jeffrey Epstein in early summer of 1996 — after she was allegedly raped by Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell on Leslie Wexner's premises. She never entered Epstein's New York home after that.

But I didn't meet Epstein until well after that, as I can prove. She couldn't possibly have ever seen me in Epstein's house, because I was never in his house at any time that she could possibly have been there.

So, it's impossible for her to have ever seen me there during the time period she knew him. Moreover, on the few occasions when I was in Epstein's New York house, well after Farmer was long gone, I never went into the private areas of that home. I was generally with my wife or other lawyers or academics, in the public areas — dining room, living room, den — and I never saw any young girls around. Why would Farmer make up such an easily disprovable story about me, and swear to it under pains of perjury, which she may now suffer?

The time has come for the responsible media to investigate all the principles — accusers and accused alike — in the tragic Epstein saga.

The #MeToo movement has done a great feat of good in exposing predatory men, but as with every movement, it's subject to exploitation by those who misuse it for financial gain, revenge and other personal benefits.

As philosopher Eric Hoffer once cautioned, "Every great cause begins as a movement, becomes a business, and eventually degenerates into a racket."





SARAH RANSOME WITH VIRGINIA ROBERTS

On Virginia Roberts claiming in the Netflix documentary, Epstein: Filthy Rich, that he had raped her on Epstein's island, Dershowitz said: "That's a lie. My wife & daughter were with me the one time I flew to the island, years before Guiffre met Epstein and years before he was suspected of wrongdoing. Show me a flight log that has me going to island without my wife. You can't because there isn't any".

The only other accuser against Dershowittz is Sarah Ransome, who also starred in Netflix's documentary, and who stated in court documents that she had engaged in sexual activity with Dershowitz at Epstein's behest, though she was in her twenties at the time. Dershowitz, claims that he has never met her, and there is more than good reason to doubt Ransome's accusation.

Ransome informed Maureen Callahan of the New York Post in 2016 that she had tapes of herself having sex with prominent men, including Richard Branson, Bill Clinton and Donald Trump, and also possessed a sex tape of Hillary Clinton. When Callahan asked her to produce these tapes, she said they had been sent to Europe to protect them because Clinton has arranged for The CIA to kill her and she was under the protection of the KGB. Ransome later confessed to a journalist of the New Yorker, who had first helped make Ransome's claims public during the 2016 Presidential election, that she had lied and that no such tapes existed. This damning fact was not mentioned in the Netflix documentary.

“I know that Netflix had the evidence of Ransome’s admitted fabrications because I gave it to them and they promised to use it” said Dershowitz. “But they deliberately censored the parts of my interview in which I provided the evidence of her lack of credibility, precisely because they didn’t want their viewers to know the truth about Ransome’s questionable history.

Dershowitz has been open and proactive in defending himself against the accusations laid against him. After making her claims against Dershowitz, Virginia stated that she had talked about him with Tony Figueroa, her boyfriend during the time she was with Epstein. Virginia described calling him from the island and complaining of being obligated to “have sex with O. J. Simpson’s lawyer.” In January, 2016, two attorneys working with Dershowitz tracked Figueroa down to secure an affidavit that would preëmpt any such testimony. “Virginia only once mentioned Alan Dershowitz,” it read. “I remember she described Mr. Dershowitz as ‘O. J. Simpson’s lawyer.’ She did not say she ever had any physical contact with him.” Dershowitz also gathered evidence from phone calls with Rebecca Boylan, a girlhood friend of Giuffre’s. In a transcript that he introduced during a deposition, Boylan says that Giuffre was pursuing Dershowitz only under pressure from lawyers, and that “I’ve never heard her mention you as [sic] when we were kids.”

Dershowitz has also provided approximately 10,000 pages of travel records and bankcard statements proving that the timeframe and locations set forward in Virginia’s accusations cannot be possible.





Alexandra Cousteau

Roberts has previously alleged that she had sexual encounters with marine activist Alexandra Cousteau, the granddaughter of explorer Jacques Cousteau, "several times" during their "first meeting." She also said that Epstein "instigated that she and I reenact as lovers in lesbian acts of foreplay and penetration," saying that Epstein claimed to have donated to Cousteau's marine exploration projects:

“Ghislane had just recently obtained her helicopter pilot?s license and wanted to get some practice airtime and ?ew Jeffrey and I to St. John where we picked up Alexandria Cousteau, the grand daughter to the inventor of the scuba tank and underwater *explorer Jack Cousteau… Jeffrey made it clear that Alexandria was a guest when I was told to adhere to her if she wanted a massage, which I obliged her with several times during our first meeting.*

It was Jeffrey who instigated that her and I reenact as lovers in lesbian acts of foreplay and penetration. I couldn’t imagine a girl with such high prospects lowering herself to something of Jeffrey’s standards, but I later found out through Jeffrey that he had donated money to her continuous exploration into marine life and habitats.”

As with many of the other accusations that have come under scrutiny, Virginia Roberts has recently refrained from making any further accusations against Cousteau, and has not mentioned her name in any subsequent interviews. The same goes for her claim to have met Al Gore on Epstein’s island, as well as Bill Clinton – after both were shown, through Secret Service records, to have never visited the island.

Al Gore has apparently never met Jeffrey Epstein.

"The allegations by Virginia Giuffre née Roberts regarding Ms. Cousteau are 100 percent false," Cousteau's lawyer said in an email. "Ms. Cousteau never had intimate relations with Giuffre, and does not even recall ever having met such a person. Ms. Cousteau and her organization also never received any financial contributions whatsoever from Mr. Epstein or his company."

GLEN AND EVA DUBIN

In her manuscript, Virginia claimed that she was trafficked by Epstein to billionaire hedge fund manager Glen Dubin and his wife, physician and former model, Eva:

"I was at my apartment when I received a phone call from Jeffrey. I thought it was the usual call to come over to his house, but he surprised me. Instead I would be going to the exclusive hotel in Palm Beach tonight, The Breakers, where I would be meeting my first ever clients. Only told their first names I was given some instructions and the address where to meet Glen and Eva.



When Eva and I went into the Master Bedroom and she undressed to reveal the ?rst naked pregnant body I had ever seen. it was fascinating to see her in the later stages of maternity, and strangely enough it was a miraculous and wondrous sight. She was a former model and one of Jeffrey's many entourages from his past that had gotten too old for his taste and was married off to a wealthy colleague of his… I began to massage her as Jeffrey had instructed me to, softly and slowly. So at ease with her nude body she even asked me to massage her swelling breasts, in a non- sexual way. Not knowing any other way but that way, I was trying to oblige in her request doing the very best I could to relieve her but couldn't help but giggle to myself at the very sight of this. She said she was very happy at the end of the massage and rolled over to go to sleep, asking me to turn out the light at the end of it. I closed the door gently as if not to disturb her, and went to find my other client. The apartment's lights had been turned out for the sleeping children and I found Glen awaiting for me in the lounge room… Lying frontwards facing me with his exposed and ever growing manhood, I asked him to roll over to begin the massage. He complied with a cheeky smile and from his eagerness to show he was not shy indeed, I already knew where this was going to end up."

"Glenn and Eva Dubin are outraged by the allegations in the unsealed court records, which are demonstrably false and defamatory," the spokesman said in a statement. "The Dubins have flight records and other evidence that definitively disprove that any such events occurred."

‘RICK’ HILTON

In one passage of her memoir, Virginia Roberts describes her encounter with a man that Epstein called "Rick," who she said at the time "was a hotel owner of some large chain in American called 'Hilton”.
Roberts described him as a "short, balding man with straggling remnants of curly brown hair left to show for the remaining bits of youth left in him." She said the man offered to pay her triple what Epstein paid her to perform a similar role for him personally:

“It was a fun bash, the entire crowd sang Happy Birthday to Naomi and by the time we got back in the car to retreat for the night, I was giddy from the drinking and dancing all evening. We had a guest in the car, and apparently we had already been introduced, but I couldn’t even remember his name in the first five minutes of our meeting again. He was the hotel owner of some large chain in America called Hilton. Staying at one of the cottages near Jeffrey, he saw it quaint to loan me out for a night’s massage to ruin an almost perfect evening. Jeffrey told me to come back to his room after I was finished with Rick, thankfully he reminded me of his name but also odd I thought, being so late I would’ve never imagined Jeffrey could



stay up this late but I never made a fuss out of his requests. I gave him affirmation of my understood instructions and headed off with this stranger into the darkness. I dimmed the bright lights in his bedroom to a softer tone as if it might aid the vision of this short, balding man with straggling remnants of curly brown hair left to show for the remaining bits of youth left in him undress and lay on top of the towel that I had picked up from the neatly folded pile at the end of his bed and spread it over the duvet. I didn't want to waste a second in his place, getting right to the very reason I was even there. Turning around while he was getting undressed, being our first time together, I wanted to give him the impression I was actually a therapist and not a finale to the end of the nights entertainment. Not exactly what he had in mind though, given that my impression was already made for me when Jeffrey arranged for our meeting in the first place. His chuckle to my response was condescending as he came up behind me and unzipped my dress which floated to the bottom of the floor as he was saying you can't really expect to give a massage wearing this, here sweetie, I'll help you out of it. He just wanted to see the hidden bounty my clothes were hindering his eyes from seeing. I just



went about my way trying to get his hands off lie down for a massage. Making quaint conversation with him was pointless as I was trying to send his thoughts elsewhere other than the apparent perverted schemes replaying in his mind from the heavy breathing he was making and the looks he was giving to me.

A representative for businessman Rick Hilton, the father of Paris Hilton, said that it cannot be him described in the memoir, as he does not own Hilton, and he does not match the physical description.

Conveniently perhaps, in her 2016 deposition, and during cross-examination, Virginia Roberts claimed that she could no longer remember the name of the hotel owner or the name of the hotel chain, and provided no evidence nor identity to support the questionable accusation.



BILL RICHARDSON, GEORGE MITCHELL & MARVIN MINSKY

According to one deposition, Giuffre alleges that she was 'instructed' by Maxwell to have sex with George Mitchell, a former Senate Majority leader who represented Maine from 1980-95 and former New Mexico Governor Bill Richardson. Interestingly, Roberts did not mention them in her memoir.

'I have never met, spoken with or had any contact with Ms. Giuffre,' Mitchell said. 'In my contacts with Mr. Epstein I never observed or suspected any inappropriate conduct with underage girls. I only learned of his actions when they were reported in the media related to his prosecution in Florida. We have had no further contact.'

Richardson served as US Ambassador to the United Nations from 1997-1998, and Secretary of Energy under President Bill Clinton from 1998-2000. In a statement issued to Fox News, Richardson denied the allegation and insisted that they are 'completely false.'

'Governor Richardson has never even been contacted by any party regarding this lawsuit,' his spokesperson said.

'To be clear, in Governor Richardson's limited interactions with Mr. Epstein, he never saw him in the presence of young or underage girls."

'Governor Richardson has never been to Mr. Epstein's residence in the Virgin Islands. Governor Richardson has never met Ms. Giuffre.'

'Governor Richardson has never been to Mr. Epstein's residence in the Virgin Islands. Governor Richardson has never met Ms. Giuffre.'

Despite going into graphic detail about many of the events she alleges took place, Virginia Roberts has been extremely vague about Richardson and Mitchell during cross-examination:

Q. When Ghislaine Maxwell used the words, Go give a massage to Bill Richardson, where were you?

A. I can't tell you where we were. I know where I was sent to. I don't know where we were when she told me to do that.

Q. Where were you sent to?

A. New Mexico

Q. By Ghislaine Maxwell?

MR. EDWARDS: Object to the form.

A. Are you smiling at me because –

Q. No, I'm asking you to answer the question.

A. I have answered the question. I was sent to new Mexico.

Q. Where were you sent from?

A. I already answered that. I don't know where I was sent from.

…

Q. Where were you and where was Ms. Maxwell when she directed you to go have sex with Marvin Minsky?

MR EDWARDS: Object to the form.

A. I don't know.

Q. Where did you go to have sex with Marvin Minsky?

A. I believe it was the U.S. Virgin Islands, Jeff's – sorry, Jeffrey Epstein's island in the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Q. And when was that?

A. I don't know.

Q. Do you have any time of year?

A. No.

Minsky was unable to defend himself against the accusations made by Virginia Roberts as the MIT professor had died of a stroke in 2016.

LESLIE WEXNER



Virginia Roberts has previously accused billionaire businessman and philanthropist Leslie Wexner, who was a business acquaintance of Jeffrey Epstein before he cut ties with the disgraced financier following Epstein's arrest. Alan Dershowitz details the curious nature of the allegations in his book, 'Guilt By Accusation':

A deep mystery surrounds the decision by attorney David Boies not to pursue Wexner whom, according to Giuffre, she was sexually trafficked by Jeffrey Epstein on multiple occasions while she was underage. Readers may be unaware of these allegations because Boies deep-sixed them after meeting with Wexner's lawyer. The question is, why? Did Boies not believe his client's accusations against Wexner? If he did believe them, did wexner pay hush money to keep the accusations from becoming public? The answer may lie in the following documented, but suppressed, story that I have asked prosecutors to investigate.

In mid-2014, Giuffre's original lawyer, a former Assistant Attorney General named Stanley Pottinger, asked Boies to help represent Giuffre. Boies agreed. According to a sworn affidavit file by Pottinger:

"In the fall of 2014, I asked Mr. Boies if the firm represented, or if he knew, Mr. Leslie Wexner. I told him that in the course of investigating facts related to Mr. Epstein's sex trafficking, Mr. Wexner had been identified as a close business associate and personal friend of Mr. Epstein. I told Mr. Boies that there were assertions that Mr. Wexner had permitted Mr. Epstein to use, and entertain on, Mr. Wexner's yacht, and that Mr. Wexner was alleged to have had sex with one or more of Mr. Epstein's girls, including Ms. Giuffre."

The Pottinger affidavit disclosed that in December of 2014 – precisely the same time I was being

publicly accused in court papers by Giuffre of having sex with her – Boies's firm asked to meet with Wexner privately. As previously noted, Boies and his partner then met with Wexner's lawyer and told him, according to the lawyer, that Giuffre was accusing him of sexual misconduct similar to that of which she was falsely accusing me. As I've said, both Wexner's wife and his lawyer used the word "shakedown" when telling me about Boies's approach to Wexner. They also said the accusations were untrue. We don't know precisely what transpired at the secret meeting, but we do know, from the records of the case, that following the meeting, Wexner's name disappeared from court filings, and no public accusation, of the kind made against me, was ever leveled against Wexner by Boies or his client. In the recently unsealed deposition of Giuffre in 2016, she accuses many prominent figures of having sex with her, but not Wexner, though she had earlier accused him in secret.

Wexner's disappearance from the Epstein cases is suprising, indeed shocking, in light of Wexner's wealth and long-term personal and business associations with Epstein. Maria Farmer – whose credibility is questionable – has sworn that she was assaulted by Epstein on Wexner's property, after Wexner hired her to work with him, and that "Wexner's security staff refused to let [her] leave the property," where she was "held against [her] will for 12 hours…"

As I have repeatedly said, the accusations against me, which were deliberately made public, are refuted by the evidence. Yet they were deliberately made in publicly filed court documents and then leaked to the press in order to gain maximum publicity. Just as these accusations became headline news, Wexner was approached privately with similar accusations. The timing does not appear to be coincidental… If Wexner's lawyers persuaded Boies that his client was lying about having sex with Wexner, that would be a good explanation for why they would not, thereafter, have publicly accused Wexner. But it doesn't explain why they continued to publicly accuse me after concluding that the very woman who accused me – the same one who accused Wexner – was a liar, who made up a similar false story about another prominent man. If, on the other hand, Boies believed that Giuffre was telling the truth about Wexner, why would he possibly have allowed this prominent multi-billionaire to get away with having repeatedly abused his "underage" client? Boies sued Ghislaine Maxwell and Epstein on behalf of his clients and received generous settlements from which he received contingency fees. Now Giuffre is suing me… Why did he let the mega-wealthiest person most closely connected to Epstein off the hook? Did money change hands?

There is no third possibility: Either Boies concluded that Giuffre was lying about Wexner, in which case she should not be believed about me; or he concluded that she was telling the truth about Wexner, in which case, Boies has to explain why he covered up his billionaire's serious crimes against his client.

It is, of course, logically possibly that Giuffre was lying about Wexner (as well as the Gores, Clinton, her age and other issues) but telling the truth about me. But as Oliver Wendell Holmes wisely observed, "The Life of the law has not been logic, it has been experience." And experience teaches that a witness who has falsely accused others and lied about relevant matters should not be believed. Giuffre's own lawyer, David Boies, acknowledged this when he told me that she was "wrong" about meeting me on the island, ranch and other locations so she could not be believed about meeting me in New York.

Not only did Leslie Wexner's name completely disappear from the case after his lawyer met with Boies and McCawley, but Giuffre's lawyers went out of their way to pronounce him innocent of any wrongdoing. Here is how Bradley Edwards, Giuffre's Florida lawyer, put it when asked on July 16, 2019 whether Wexner was involved with any of Jeffrey Epstein's sexual misconduct: "I believe on the Information that we have accumulated over 11 years that the statements that he gave yesterday in the press that he did not know about the sexual proclivities of Mr. Epstein are very likely to be true. We have not seen where he is in the company of Jeffrey Epstein at the time when he was engaging in these things. In fact, it is very seldom that any of the victims ever met him or saw him, I know there are a lot of business ties to him but other than receiving any information about their business connection, I don't have any information to believe otherwise."

This interview is highly significant, because Edwards was speaking as Giuffre's lawyer and agent. In doing so, he was admitting – much like Boies has previously admitted – that his own client was a liar and a perjurer. Recall that Giuffre had testified under oath that she had sex with Wexner on numerous occasions, under circumstances nearly identical to the false claims she made about having sex with me. She has also accused Wexner of making her wear Victoria's Secret-type lingerie while he had sex with her. Giuffre also told another of her lawyers, Pottinger, that Wexner had sex with her and may have had sex with other women associated with Epstein. But that was all before Boies and McCawley met with Wexner's lawyers...

And there is more. In a previous

interview Edwards said that based on his own 11 years of investigating Epstein related charges he doesn't "know of any high profile people who would be implicated" in sexual misconduct. So, again, he is calling his client a perjurer because she testified that among the people she was forced by Epstein to have sex with there were many "high profile people" such as George Mitchell, Bill Richardson, Ehud Barak and others.



VIRGINIA WITH LAWYER DAVID BOIES



In perhaps the most damning part of the Virginia Roberts saga, whilst under oath, Roberts essentially admitted that she had lied in her 2014 legal joinder motion and had committed perjury in making her allegations regarding having sexual encounters with foreign Presidents; first stating once again, under oath, that she had engaged in sexual activity with a foreign President, and then clearly confirming that she had not:

Q. For example, if I asked you the question were you sexually trafficked to foreign presidents, do you understand what that question means?

A. Yes.

Q. What does it mean?

A Was I lent out for the purposes of sex to a foreign person -- president.

A. Was I lent out for the purposes of sex to a foreign person -- president.

Q. All right. And what is the answer to that question?

A. Yes.

Q. And if I ask you have you met any foreign presidents, do you understand what that question means?

A. Yes.

Q And what is the answer to that question?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And if I asked you whichforeign presidents have you met, do you understand what that question means?

A. Yes.

Q. Who are the foreign presidents that you have met?

A. I honestly can't remember his name at this time. I'm a very visual person so --

Q. All right. Can you describe him, then?

A. Yes. He's Spanish.

Q. Okay.

A. Tall, dark hair.

Q. All right. Anything else?

A. And he's got a foreign tongue, accent.

Q. And what age, approximately?

A. Was I or was he?

Q. Was he.

A. I'd say in his 40s.

Q. Okay. And where did you meet him?

A. I believe it was New Mexico.

Q. New Mexico?

A. Possibly New Mexico.

VIRGINIA ROBERTS, WHILE UNDER OATH, 2016:

Q. The reference there to foreign presidents, do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. You were sexually trafficked to foreign presidents?

A. No.

Q. So that's not true, you were not sexually trafficked to foreign presidents?

A. I don't know what foreign presidents you're talking about.

Q. Have you ever been trafficked to any foreign president?

A. I understand well-known prime ministers and other world leaders; as far as foreign presidents, I'm not too sure. I don't know.

Q. Have you ever met any foreign presidents?

A. Foreign presidents as in overseas?

Q. Sure, okay, overseas.

A. No.

Q. Have you ever met any foreign presidents from countries not overseas, such as Canada or Mexico?

A. No.

HEIDI KLUM

While not accusing her of any involvement in illegal sexual activity, under threat of perjury, Virginia Roberts claimed in her defamation case against Ghislaine Maxwell that model and TV star Heidi Klum had travelled on Epstein's private jet. Yet this claim too has been discredited, after unsealed flight logs revealed that Heidi Klum had never stepped foot on Epstein's plane.

Providing a statement after the allegation was made public, Klum's lawyer said:

"Any reference to Heidi Klum in connection with Jeffrey Epstein is totally false. Heidi Klum's name and initials were absent from all the flight logs which were released. Many high profile people are listed, but Ms. Klum is not one of them. The explanation is simple. She has never been on any of Mr. Epstein's planes. And that is because she did not know Mr. Epstein and was never on his island".

Klum herself then issued a statement to People magazine, saying:

"I did not know Mr. Epstein and therefore have never been on his planes, at his homes or his island. I am speaking up as I do not want to be falsely associated with Mr. Epstein and the horrific story surrounding him. I stand with the victims who have so bravely come forward and I too want the truth to come out and for justice to prevail."

Other people whom Virginia Roberts alleges travelled onboard Epstein's plane have also been proven to have not done so, including Republican Al Gore and his brother.




ALLEGED PREGNANCY

In her memoir, Virginia Roberts wrote the following harrowing story:

“When flew out to NYC to meet Jeffrey the next day, was still in a horrible state. Not knowing what was wrong with me, thinking it was just a bad come down, I rushed out of the elevator and down the hall to my room, sweating profusely, to make it just in time to the toilet to throw up bile again for the uncountable time since getting off the plane. I was having intense cramps in my stomach and when I was called down to meet Jeffrey in the massage room, I had to decline for the first time. I told the housekeeper that I was feeling very ill after the flight, maybe some off food for lunch and needed to lie down for a while. Nobody came up to check on me for hours or even called. Waking up from my much-needed nap, I pulled back the expensive white sheets to find myself in a pool of my own sweat and blood. I was covered in the red stains that drenched the pants I was wearing. I had no idea what was going on, I could only focus on the dire amount of pain I was in. In between the heaves of throwing up and crying simultaneously I was able to reach the phone and called the housemaid on the intercom. I told her I needed to go to a hospital immediately and slammed the phone down only to fall back to the floor huddled in a ball. She didn’t hesitate in calling Ghislane who ran up to see what was wrong. Ghislaine then called Jeffrey who was in his office at the time and told him to hurry up to my level, stressing to him that it was an emergency. Thinking they really cared about me for the ?rst time since I had known them, they came with me to the hospital with me. Now realize I was only a liability in getting them caught and they needed to get to the doctors before I could say anything incriminating. They were suspecting the worst now and seeing he never wore a condom with me and from our previous conversations they knew I always made T.J wear one, they feared the situation at hand. When he saw the catastrophic state I was in at that point, it was the housemaid who helped me get up and assisted e down to the car where Jo-Jo was waiting for me. He drove us to either Lennox Hill hospital or Mount Sinai, I can’t remember, as it was blurry in such a bad condition. Rushed in for immediate testing, I was uncontrollably vomiting and in so much agony, was given an injection to help me subside the intense pain. A nurse came in to ask who would pay for this and although I insisted my parents insurance still covered me, Jeffrey persisted that he take care of all the medical bills and gave the nurse his information. when the pain relief kicked in, everything and everyone dwindled away until I felt like Alice in Wonderland who just swallowed the shrinking tablet and everyone standing around me seemed to move so quick, speak so loud and

hover above me. When I awoke from my induced state, I was alone in a different room. Not knowing at all what went on but feeling a little better, 1 called in the nurse who looked through my charts and checked my vital signs. Then told me the doctor would be in shortly to inform me of the conclusion to my illness. He was a tall man with dark hair, and a hardened look about him obviously from his job and constantly seeing the worst horror stories man could think of, he just bluntly told me I had miscarried in early stages of pregnancy.

This terrible tale would be even more terrible if it weren't just that – a tale. In reality, no such event took place, and Virginia had lied about the entire pregnancy and miscarriage. In reality, as medical records reveal, Roberts spent a short time in hospital in July 2001 with vaginal bleeding, and at no point in any of her records does a doctor or medical professional diagnose Virginia as being pregnant or having suffered a miscarriage.


49125
NURSING DOCUMENTATION SHEET
TMENT TRIAGE ASSESSMENT/DOCUMENTATION RECORD
Name: Roberts, Virginia
Emergent Urgent Non-Urgent
Med Surg Peds Psych
Main ED UCC Parts Psych
Allergies: Unknown None
NKDA
OCP
Unknown
Ice Elevation Immobilization Dressing Respiratory Precautions C-Collar Security
18 103/65



MEETING THE QUEEN

Virginia Roberts' father, Sky Roberts, has claimed to the press that his daughter told him she had met Queen Elizabeth II, telling The Sun:

"Virginia told me a few years back that she met Prince Andrew when she went to London. She also said while they were there she met the Queen".

Roberts said that his daughter was "so excited" that she had met the Queen during the trip.

The claim, however, was quickly debunked after it hit the international newspapers, with Buckingham Palace, which keeps a meticulous record of all guests introduced to the Queen of England, confirming that the records

Showed that no such meeting ever took place. Sky Roberts later retracted his statements after Virginia began to fall under further suspicion, saying that it had been a 'misunderstanding'.


SKY ROBERTS



4. LEAVING EPSTEIN



THE PROPOSITION

One of the latest allegations by Roberts was revealed by her attorney, Bradley Edwards, in his new memoir, “Relentless Pursuit.” This pertained that Virginia decided to ‘escape’ from Epstein after both he and Ghislaine Maxwell asked her to be the surrogate mother to ‘their’ child. “It was the final straw,” Edwards writes in an excerpt published in the Daily Mail. “She knew she had to escape.”

“We were at the island by ourselves – Jeffrey and me, and Ghislaine – and we had gone for a nice snorkeling event” Virginia later claimed in an interview. “And we’re getting up and taking off our flippers on the jetty, and Ghislaine starts talking to me about how would I feel about having a child. ‘It would be your baby’ [Maxwell said], but it would be our baby, and we would have you sign a document that says that you would have an allowance; we would pay you $200,000 a month, and you would have a nice house. But this child would really be ours and we can do what we want to do with our child. You can basically never take this child from us’. I said ‘okay, let me get my massage certificate – I really want that, that’s important to me, because eventually when you guys are done with me, I would like to have something to do with my life’.



Virginia the elaborated on her claim in August 2019 to the Miami Herald:

“So a few months later he sent me to Thailand to get a massage certificate on top of picking up another girl for him, of course.”

In the interview, Roberts then stated that when being sent to Thailand to get her certificate and to traffic a young girl back to Epstein:

“I escaped. I called Jeffrey to let him know I was not coming back. I was not bringing the little Thai girl back, and he said ‘you know what, have a nice life’, and hung up the phone”.

There are multiple questionable claims made in her allegations. One of them is the price that Roberts claims was offered to her - $200,000 a month. This seems a staggering, unbelievable sum, particularly when Maxwell and Epstein could have either adopted a child or paid a lump sum to a plethora of registered and unregistered surrogate mothers, at a tiny fraction of the two million, four-hundred-thousand dollars per year that Roberts claimed to have been offered.

Further to note, once again, Roberts can be proven to have provided inconsistent, conflicting accounts. In the paid-for interview with the Miami Herald, Roberts stated that Maxwell had propositioned her about having a baby for her and Epstein.

Yet in her book, The Billionaire’s Playground, Roberts claimed that it had been Epstein who had propositioned her, going into minute detail about what she claims he said:

“It all came to a sudden conclusion one sunny afternoon in the Caribbean. Jeffrey pulled himself up to the ladders first step and climbed up the next four steps to the top of the dock. His chest was heaving rapidly as he sat down catching his breath. Ghislane was the next one up and then I followed lastly behind them. To me it was an invigorating snorkel around the shallow reefs within the radius of the dock, but to Jeffrey the half an hour swim in the ocean was enough to overexert his ageing limbs. I took off my snorkel gear and placed it in the storage bench, grabbing us a few towels at the same time. Wrapping a towel around Jeffrey?s backside and handing one to Ghislane, I sat down next to them on the dock and we laughed how fatigued Jeffrey was, poking fun that he really is getting older. A very touchy subject for the vain at heart but he didn?t seem to mind it, probably knowing we?d never take the joke too far. We sat there in silence for a moment, an odd silence at that. Jeffrey looked at Ghislane and then Ghislane looked at me, placing me once again in the spotlight. They both scooted closer to where I was sitting and I felt something stirring in the air.

The biggest turning point of events in all of my time spent with them. Jeffrey sat next to me and put his hand on my back and looked at me with a certain kind of sincerity I hadn't seen in him before. want to first of all tell you that over the last few years you have shown me the kind of devotion and loyalty that I believe is rare to find among people these days, qualities I hold that high in regard.? Bewildered by the whole scenario I just nodded every time he paused, trying to grasp what his intentions were getting at. He continued to praise my nature saying I hope you know my appreciation for your embracing of my lifestyle, you have been achieving a name for yourself among the friends I have introduced you to. Everybody says basically the same thing about you, the same thing I believe. You are a delightfully funny girl who has developed into a mature graceful young woman and I could think of nobody else I'd rather have a child than with than you. And just like that he created a whole new dilemma for me to face. In utter shock from the completely unexpected proposition and before I could even think of anything to respond with, Ghislane made the finishing touches with the business end of the deal starting with the pros before the cons You would have around the clock nannies to help you. Jeffrey w0uld pay for a mansion of yOur choice in either Palm Beach or New Yor and as if the drumrolls were beckoning you would have a hefty allowance from Jeffrey?s bank account?

Astonished at their first offers I nearly took the bait. Then she continued to finish the terms of our pre-agreement with, But you would have to travel with *the child where and when Jeffrey wanted you to be, and most importantly you would have to sign a contract stating that Jeffrey and you are not monogamous and that the child would belong in Jeffrey's custody in the event of a falling out between the two of you.? She kind of threw that last one in there quickly, as if she could get away with me not hearing that I would basically have to relinquish the rights to my own flesh and blood and surrender them to a life of servitude and abuse with these people My maternal alarm bells went off straight away and I already knew my answer. No way I could I do that to any poor baby, God only knew what*



these monsters had in store for me let alone a baby, but it was an instant reaction that saved me. I don't know guys, I mean I'm really young and never really even thought about having kids yet. Wow, I just don't know.? I slicked my hand through my hair nervously and took in a silent breath. I had to go beyond what I was truly feeling and give them the feeling that I'd never let them down. Putting an eager smile on my face and sucking up my guts intuition I told them, You know what, let me get my certificates in massage and have some time to prepare for this and get healthy then next year we'll all think about having a baby together.? It was crazy to even hear me say out loud but from the expressions on their faces I had fulfilled their wishes."

Continuing her account in The Billionaire's Playground, Roberts further displays inconsistencies and potential fabrications:

"Much reason to celebrate that night they were both in a cheery mood around the dinner table. Except for me who had taken double the dosage of Xanax to even cope with the high amounts of anxiety I had been suffering from since we got back from the dock. I wasn't sipping the champagne that night, I was gulping it and when their moods turned from cheery to raunchy later that evening it wasn't hard for me to comply.

My birthday was only a week away and I was turning nineteen that year. All I wanted was to get my certificates before I got any older and get trapped into this life for good. When my big day rolled around I was in New York with Jeffrey and Ghislaine. Sitting on my bed listening to channel blare in the background of my room I was painting my toenails when I was suddenly buzzed on the intercom. It was Jeffrey calling himself to ask me to meet him in his office in ten minutes. Perfect timing to let my nails dry I thought to myself. Already contemplating his desire to come downstairs I knew it had something to do with my birthday present but I was more expecting the usual shopping money or piece of jewelry, definitely not what he had in store for me. I knocked on the ajar door to Jeffrey's office and heard him beckon me inside. Hello, what cha up too?? I asked in a cutesy tone of voice. Walking over to his desk he looked up at me taking his reading glasses off while granting me a big smile. Come over here and sit down with me, as he ushered me to come sit on his lap. Pulling me onto him he had a funny took on his face, like he had something really big to tell me and was letting the anticipation build in the thickness of the silence. I laughed at the way he was looking at me now. First of all, Happy birthday today. Was only the beginning of his tell me



how much you have wanted this for so long and you are more than deserving of it. You are going away to Thailand to learn authentic Thai Massage and within eight weeks you'll receive a certificate for being a qualified Thai Massage Therapist. Astonished at his attempts to see me get what I wanted, not exactly the type of massage I was interested in but it was a start and a first certificate for me to acquire. My eyes lit up and I threw my arms around his neck, planting a big kiss on his lips, which I rarely ever did. Wow, I don't know what to say, this is beyond my wildest dreams. thank you so much! I did well to let him know I appreciated his grandeur offer. He went on to give me the details of where I'd be staying, the school's schedules, and how much he loved Thai massage…

He even had an assignment for me to do while I was over there. I was to meet up with a girl who was also being put up at the Princess Hotel where I was staying. She had an Asian sounding name so I just assumed she was a local girl hoping for an opportunity of a lifetime".

In other interviews, Roberts claimed Epstein gave her flight tickets during the surprise. Yet, in the following unsealed sworn testimony, Roberts provided a statement that not only conflicts with her claim that Epstein surprised her with the news of the birthday gift to Thailand while in his office, but also pulls her claims of being propositioned to be Jeffrey and Maxwell's surrogate further into question.

Page 93

A He didn't hand me the tickets at that time, but he told me that he had booked me in for massage training at an institute in Chiang Mai.

Q And he told you he had booked you tickets to a massage training in Chiang Mai, Thailand sometime before your actual 19th birthday?

MR. EDWARDS: Form.

Q (BY MS. MENNINGER) Did I get that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Did he hand you --

A Excuse me.

Q -- hand you anything at that time?

A No, I don't think so.

Q And where were you located when he told you this about the Thailand massage training?

A Jeffrey, Ghislaine and I had just gone scuba -- not scuba diving, not with the big tanks, but snorkeling with just the mask and the two-piece, and on Jeffrey's island, by the way.

And we had gone out for a while. And we had come back. And he's got a pier where it's got a ladder and you climb up. And we were wearing wet suits. So we were taking off our flippers and our wet suits and all of our gear.

And they said they wanted to sit down and

Page 94

talk to me, just the three of us. And he -- first, he told me about the --

Q If I could just stop you. I think I asked where were you --

A Oh, I'm sorry.

Q -- when you had this conversation about the --

A Just the island. I'm just trying to describe the instance that he gave it to me.

Q Oh, okay.

A It was on the island, on the pier in the Caribbean.

Q Okay. And it was sometime before your 19th birthday?

A Correct.

Q How much time before?

A I don't know. A couple -- six weeks, a couple of months. I don't know. Close to my birthday. It was my birthday present, that's what he told me.

Q Okay. So you don't know when you had this conversation?

MR. EDWARDS: Form.

A I mean, I -- no, I didn't record the time and the date, so I can only speculate. It was

Page 95

shortly before my birthday, but not my birthday.

Q (BY MS. MENNINGER) Okay. And he told you he had booked you tickets to go to Thailand, right?

A Correct.

Q All right. So you remember one birthday at which you received makeup, bracelet and earrings and one birthday at which you received tickets to Thailand.

Do you remember any other birthdays that you spent with Jeffrey Epstein and/or Ghislaine Maxwell?

A I'm sure there is, but I honestly can't remember what I did for my 18th birthday.

Q Okay. Well, I'm sorry, did you know for sure that the bracelet, earrings and makeup were from your 17th birthday, or do you know?

A I don't know.

Q But you know they were not for your 16th birthday, right?

A Correct.

Q All right. If I could have you go back to Defendant's Exhibit 1, I think.

A Defendant's, sorry, Exhibit 1?

Q Um-hum. Page 9, either at the bottom or in the upper right-hand corner.

Page 96

Do you see that page?

A Page 9 of 27, yes.

Q All right. And paragraph 23, do you see that paragraph?

A I see the paragraph.

Q All right.

A I was just going to read it over quickly.

Q By all means.

A I've read it.

Q And the sentence, Defendant and Ms. Maxwell acknowledged and celebrated plaintiff's 16th birthday, is not a true statement, correct?

A Only upon learning about the fact that I just found out my records. I assumed at the time it was my 16th birthday. But now we know different.

Q You admit, as you sit here today, that defendant and Ms. Maxwell did not celebrate your 16th birthday with you, correct?

A Correct, based upon the records.

Q Which you don't know when you saw?

A I know it was, you know, it wasn't -- it wasn't a year ago, but it wasn't that long ago either. So I'm not too sure. I can't tell you the date that I actually saw them.

Q All right. Last year you lived in

As with the location, the date when Roberts alleges the "surrogate conversation" took place is also contradicted in numerous documented accounts.

In her book, Roberts claims that the conversation took place a week before her 19th birthday. In the 2016 court hearing, under oath she stated: "A couple -- six weeks, a couple of months. I don't know."

Based on his thorough conversations with Roberts and his long legal relationship with her, Virginia's former lawyer, Bradley Edwards, wrote the book 'Relentless Pursuit', in which he states:

"At the age of 19, when she had been involved in the sex cult for over two years, Epstein and Maxwell came up with a proposal that turned her stomach: they wanted her to carry his baby". This implies that Bradley had been told by Virginia that the conversation took place not before her 19th birthday, but after.

Furthermore, Virginia Roberts' persistent claim that she 'finally escaped', and insinuation that her trip to Thailand was the opportunity to free herself from what is essentially described as captivity, is contradicted by the fact that Roberts had spent the majority of her time living away from Epstein and leading her own life with her partner, working at various placed of employment, and attending various educational facilities.

Roberts was still in a relationship with convicted criminal and drug dealer Tony Figueroa at the time of her departure to Thailand, with Figueroa even driving her to the airport, and Roberts leaving him her car, presumably thinking that she would return – thus implying no premeditated thoughts of 'escaping' and not returning to America.

There is evidence to also support the notion that Roberts was still emotionally connected to Figueroa yet decided to leave him and start a new life after meeting her current husband, Robert Guiffre, who she married after just ten days of meeting him in Thailand.

During the days leading up to her marriage, Virginia incurred an extensive phone bill of $4,000 for making frequent long distance phone calls to Figueroa, at the same time that she had met Robert Guiffre in Thailand and had decided to marry him.

In her book, Virginia wrote of how she claims she finally disconnected herself from Jeffrey Epstein:
"Seven days, exactly a week after his proposal I sat on my bed in distress,


contemplating what I would say to the man who I was about to call. There was no nice way to go about it. I couldn't last forever with him and this was my one chance to get out of it for good. I was leaving him, never to return to him ever again.

Calling his office in New York I was transferred to Jeffrey's personal office. He picked up the phone on the third ring, pausing before I could get any of the words to come out of my mouth but I mustered up my courage eventually and gave him my prepared spiel, beginning with how much I had appreciated everything he has done for me up until this point. Trying to contagiously pass on my excitement through the phone lines, I finally screamed out I'm getting married! Can you believe it?

No reply was given only a silence on the other end. Trying to make some conversation ridding the uncomfortable silence, I went on to tell him about Robbie and how I had fallen madly in love with him over the last amazing few days we'd spent together.

The absence of sound made my thoughts begin to run wild and to get some response I had to ask him what he thought about everything I was telling him. Finally a few seconds later his reaction to the news sunk in and his only and final reply".





5. QUESTIONS FOR VIRGINIA

Virginia Roberts has made a fortune from out of court settlements and interviews with the press – her often contradictory statements and new claims continuing to grab headlines worldwide. With a lack of integrity and an absence of fact-checking, newspapers weekly publish her accusations and wild stories, even when those accusations can instantly be found to be demonstrably false.

Roberts and her legal team appear to heavily rely upon the likely possibility of wealthy individuals – upon being upon finding themselves accused of heinous, life-destroying crimes – paying large sums of money to avoid a trial by media or legal action that will result in the erosion of their reputation, whether they are guilty or not.

Yet Virginia's key mistake is that she has failed to stick to her story, and as such a long trail of well-documented lies and fabrications could very well be her undoing – especially during the ongoing legal cases involving her, Alan Dershowitz, and Ghislaine Maxwell.

While compiling this report, the following initial set of questions were put forward to Virginia Roberts to provide her with the opportunity to set the record straight:

1. Why did you lie about being trafficked to 'Presidents'?

2. Why did you originally tell the press that you had no sexual encounter with Prince Andrew?

3. Why did you originally not claim that you were trafficked to Alan Dershowitz?

4. Why did you lie under oath?

5. Why did you originally clearly state that you went to Epstein's home, that Maxwell opened the door, and that you went upstairs and had a sexual encounter with Epstein and 'another woman', not Maxwell – yet then later changed your story to claim that woman was Maxwell?

6. Why do your various widely-publicised interviews with the press contradict each other?

7. Why did you claim that Epstein told you to have sex with Prince Andrew while in the car on the way back from Club Tramp to Maxwell's London home, then later that Maxwell told you in the car, then that Maxwell and Epstein told you in the car, and then that Maxwell told you while back at the house?

8. Why did you originally claim you'd had sex with Prince Andrew while spending a few days alone with him at the ranch in New Mexico? 9. Why did you say Prince Andrew was down over his 'recent' divorce - despite him divorcing 5 years prior and still being friends with Ferguson?

9. Why did you say Prince Andrew was down over his 'recent' divorce - despite him divorcing 5 years prior and still being friends with Ferguson?

10. Why is the only 'witness' Steve Scully - a man who claimed he'd seen you with Andrew in 2004 - years after you'd parted company with Epstein?

11. Why did you traffick minors to Epstein despite not being held captive by him, and being over 18?

12. Why did you lie about your age and state that you were 15 when you met Epstein and that you were 'in his service' for 4 years?

13. Why did you say you'd spent your 'sweet 16th' on his island, despite being over 16 when you met Epstein?

14. Why has one of your friends stated that you admitted being pressured by your lawyers into accusing Alan Dershowitz?

15. Why did you claim that you'd been trafficked to a hotel chain owner with the surname 'Hilton', yet never provide any proof, and then claim you didn't know his surname?

16. Why did you claim that you'd been trafficked to 'another' Prince other than Prince Andrew - a 'Spanish-speaking Prince'; yet have been unable to identify him from a tiny handful of people, and have since gone silent about it?

17. Why, in a legal document, under threat of perjury, did you state you'd had sex with more than one 'foreign President', and then later on, during your deposition, admit that it wasn't true?

18. Why did you later claim Maxwell had asked you if you'd have hers and Epstein's child, then that Epstein had asked you, then that both had asked you, and then that Epstein had asked you at a completely different location?

VIRGINIA'S RESPONSE

Upon being presented with the set of non-exhaustive questions, Virginia Roberts became agitated and provided the following abrupt answer: "Why don't you get a life and look within before casting stones at glass houses. Everything I've said has been confirmed".


Yet, as with the majority of Virginia Roberts' claims, her statement that 'everything' she has said has been 'confirmed', is also, of course, another demonstrable lie.



THE EPSTEIN FILES: ISSUE 2

OUT NEXT MONTH

WARNING
GRAPHIC CONTENT



THE CURIOUS DEATH OF JEFF